Copyright by the American Fisheries Society 1992

he i-

of

S.

Evaluating Enhancement of Striped Bass in the Context of Potential Predation on Anadromous Salmonids in Coos Bay, Oregon

JAMES H. JOHNSON,¹ ANTHONY A. NIGRO, AND RAY TEMPLE

Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife Post Office Box 59, Portland, Oregon 97207, USA

Abstract. — We describe an approach for evaluating the predation on anadromous salmonids that could result from enhancement of striped bass *Morone saxatilis* in Coos Bay, Oregon. Predation by striped bass on juvenile salmonids has been documented there since 1930. To provide a basis for the decision about enhancement of striped bass in Coos Bay, we estimated the losses of anadromous salmonids in 1950 and 1960–1964. In this evaluation, we used information on striped bass in Coos Bay and collateral information about striped bass in other waters. Estimated numbers of juvenile salmonids consumed by striped bass in Coos Bay (April–June) ranged from more than 41,000 in 1950 to about 383,000 in 1963. Estimated losses of adult salmonids ranged from about 1,000 in 1950 to about 46,000 in 1963. This approach was useful in conveying the potential consequences of large-scale striped bass enhancement to decision makers and to the public. The evaluation also helped identify information needs that are now considered in managing the fishery and in evaluating impacts on salmonids.

Fisheries managers are often faced with decision making under uncertainty. Accurately predicting fish community responses to management alternatives is often difficult because data are limited and sometimes critical data cannot be obtained. In these situations an organized framework for decision making can prevent ill-advised trial-anderror management. Systems analysis has been proposed as a useful method to improve decision making in fish and wildlife management. A systems approach may simply be "nothing more than the application of good common sense as we think through a problem" (Grant 1986). Walters (1986) developed the concept of adaptive management as an organized framework for managing under uncertainty. The first step in adaptive management is the construction of predictive models to define the system and to identify key uncertainties (Milliman et al. 1987). We describe an approach used by the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW) to identify potential consequences of a management action on fisheries in a coastal river.

Striped bass *Morone saxatilis* is not native to the west coast of North America. This species appeared in Coos Bay, Oregon, in 1914, about 45 years after its introduction in California. Striped

bass later became well established in Coos Bay and by the 1940s, supported major commercial and sport fisheries (Morgan and Gerlach 1950). The sport fishery persisted through the 1950s and 1960s, attracting anglers from throughout Oregon and from other states. Because of dwindling striped bass populations and because of initiatives by sportfishing interest groups, commercial fishing for striped bass was prohibited by legislation in 1975. However, populations continued to decline into the 1980s (Temple and Mirati 1986). The cause of the population decline is unknown but may involve climatic instability and deteriorating water quality, two conditions thought to stress striped bass populations in the Chesapeake and San Francisco bays (Setzler-Hamilton et al. 1988).

In 1985 ODFW received a proposal, developed by a sportfishing organization, to enhance striped bass in Coos Bay. The issue was not new to ODFW biologists, and the proposal renewed the longstanding question whether enhancement is desirable. Biologists' primary concern with the proposal had to do with the feeding habits of striped bass. Striped bass eat a wide variety of invertebrates and fishes (Merriman 1941; Schaefer 1970; Manooch 1973; Rulifson and McKenna 1987) including salmonids (Shapovalov 1936; Morgan and Gerlach 1950; Thomas 1967; Deppert and Mense 1980). Coos Bay supports valuable populations of anadromous salmonids, particularly coho salmon Oncorhynchus kisutch, fall chinook salmon O. tshawytscha, and winter steelhead O. mykiss. Pre-

¹ Present address: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, National Fishery Research and Development Laboratory, Rural Delivery 4, Box 63, Wellsboro, Pennsylvania 16901, USA.

Potential Predation on Anadromous Salmonids in Corential Predation on Anadromous Salmonids in Coos Bay, Oregon

ANTHONY ANTHONY A NIGHO AND RAY TEND

Pest Office Bure 59, Fortland, Overloa V 317, 1184

could reach from estantanteenent of storped has Morene accutation on Analytomicar salinooids that by shriped hass on juvenic valenting has Morene accutation Core Bay. Origon, Fredation for the detailed in 1980 and 1986-1994, In this evaluation we estimated the invest of mathematic almost in 1980 and 1986-1994. In this evaluations we and mathematicated the invest of data in Core lay and collistent information about writed bass in Core Bay. On each relation of meeting attraction and the state of the store of accurate the store attracted the invest of data in Core lay and collistent information about writed bass in Core Bay. (April-Hund relation from their data in Core lay and collistent information about writed bass in Core Bay. (April-Hund relation from their traction of the store attract by the store of the store of the store of the store of the tractice attracted by the store of the tractice of layer sole writed by This approach we set at the convertion of the transmitted termination trade in 1950 to attract 183,000 to 1963. This approach we setting in converting the material convertion of layer sole writed by information access that accurate the store of the material the material vortequences of layer sole write the store the store of the store of the store of the vortequences of layer sole write the store that access that access to access and to the store the vortequences of layer sole write the store the store of the vortequences of layer sole write the store of the store of the store of the store of the vortequences of layer sole write the store of the vortequences of layer sole write the store of the store of

> Fisherics managers are obsen freed with doctable making under uncertainty. Accurately predictin fish community responses to management after and sometimes artical data cannot be obtained and sometimes artical data cannot be obtained the three situations an organized frankwork for decision materia can prevent ill advised frait-and materia in a second data cannot be obtained affect the materia can prevent ill advised frait-and materia in the strations an organized frait-and decision materia can prevent ill advised frait-and materia in fast and wildlife management. A sysposed as a useful method to improve decision fraction materia and wildlife management. A sysdered prevention of good contruct isome as we think the application of good contruct isome as we think at an organized fractework for management derectioned the consept of adaptive management at an organized fractework for management derectioned the consept of adaptive models to metal is the consept of adaptive models to attempt of the data step in adaptive models to derectioned the consept of adaptive models to attempt of the data step in adaptive models to attempt in system and to identify i.ev uncertaintics mean to the consent to identify i.ev uncertaintics mean to the consent of braches in a consequences of data (ODFW) to identify potential consequences of the wast const of worth formerica. This species apstrated by the Oregon Department of Tell and Wild (Milliman et al. 1937). We isocide an approach have obliced bases. Adowner savarities is not native to stapped bases. Adowner savarities is not native to be wast const of worth formerica. This species apmeters approach to the formerica. This species apterned in Cons itsy. Oregon, in 1944, data 45

0

Present address U.S. Fish and WithIth Service, National Fishery Research and Gevelopment Laboratory, Hural Delivery 4, Rock 65, Weitsboro, Pernsylvania 16901, USA.

bets later became well established in Coos Bay and by the 1940s, supported major commendaand sport fisheries (Maigan and Cierkich 1950). The sport fishery pars and through the 1950s and 1960s, attracting angless from throughout Oregon has populations and bacture of initiatives by populations and bacture of initiatives by these populations and bacture of initiatives by an iped bass was provided by legislation in 1975 however, repeatations continued to deduct into the 1980s (Temple and Minit 1986). The count of the population declare is tolegowe but may if the population declare is tolegowe but may are equality the counting was determined to declare into any objection declare in the test support are population to be applied to the formation of the topolition declare in the test support are population to be and the integer to the test are population to be a the population of the formation of the population declare in the test support are populations in the Chest population and framtions from the test and frame.

In 1985 ODFW received a proposal, developed by a sportfaining organization, to enhance striped basets Cook Bay. The issue was not now to ODFW biologists, and the proposal renewed the longenneting question whether enhancement is dean ante. Biologists' primary concern with the proposal had to do with the feeding fathits of striped base. Striped base can a wide visitery of inventbrates and fathes (Merriman 1931). Schaefer 1970 bases striped base can a wide visitery of inventbrates and fathes (Merriman 1931). Schaefer 1970 base striped base can a wide visitery of inventbrates and fathes (Merriman 1931). Schaefer 1970 bases striped base can a wide visitery of inventbrates and fathes (Merriman 1931). Schaefer 1970 bases striped base can a wide visitery of inventbrates and fathes (Merriman 1931). Schaefer 1970 bases striped bases can a wide visitery of inventbrates and fathes (Merriman 1931). Schaefer 1970 bases of 1970. Teomar 1966, Deppert and Merris (Merrines statemates particularly color salmes (Merrines kentres father steathead O reviews from demander the striped base father steathead O reviews from demander the steathead O reviews from dation by striped bass on juvenile fall chinook salmon was of particular concern, because chinook salmon populations were rebuilding in Coos Bay; they had declined to near zero in the 1950s but then made a strong recovery. The decline of fall chinook salmon in Coos Bay coincided with large populations of striped bass and loss of spawning habitat, and the recovery coincided with reduced striped bass populations and improved habitat.

Because increased predation on juvenile salmonids could result from larger populations of striped bass, members of the public who were concerned about the salmon resource vocally opposed the enhancement proposal. Consequently, ODFW was faced with making a decision on a polarized subject with only limited data. Our approach, described in this paper, was to summarize available information and estimate potential losses of juvenile and adult salmonids at historical striped bass population levels. Loss estimates were based on the limited data available, on assumptions based on published information, and on intuition. Our intent was to put the striped bass enhancement levels proposed for Coos Bay in perspective relative to potential losses of juvenile salmon and steelhead.

Methods

Salmonid losses were expressed as losses of juveniles during their migration to the ocean and as losses of adults that would have contributed to sport or commercial fisheries or to natural or hatchery propagation.

Estimating losses of juvenile salmonids. – Losses of juvenile salmonids were estimated as the product of our estimate of predator-sized striped bass abundance and numbers of juvenile salmonids consumed per predator-sized striped bass. Data on striped bass abundance came from a tagging study conducted in 1950 (Morgan and Gerlach 1950) and from the commercial fishery records on catch per unit effort (CPUE; McGie and Mullen 1979). Data on striped bass food habits consisted of stomach analyses summarized in unpublished reports by the Oregon Game Commission (OGC) and Oregon Fish Commission (OFC). Confidence intervals for our striped bass abundance estimates could not be calculated because we had concurrent estimates of abundance and CPUE for 1950 only. Also, we could not calculate confidence limits on consumption of juvenile salmonids because the data used to determine average stomach contents were from pooled samples.

We estimated predator abundance in previous

years to put the enhancement goals in perspective relative to historical levels of the predator population. We used Chapman's modification of the Peterson mark-and-recapture estimator (Ricker 1975) and tagging data published by Morgan and Gerlach (1950) to estimate predation abundance for 1950. We used CPUE data (average landings per licensed net) from the commercial fishery (McGie and Mullen 1979) to extrapolate abundance for 1960, 1962, 1963, and 1964 from the 1950 estimate.

We estimated consumption of juvenile salmonids by striped bass for April, May, and June, the only months when substantial numbers of striped bass and juvenile salmonids were found in Coos Bay. In estimating the consumption of juvenile salmonids per predator, we followed Bajkov (1935). Simply stated, daily consumption (C) was calculated as the product of the turnover coefficient (K)and the average stomach content (A), or C = KA. We estimated K and A as monthly averages. We estimated K as 24/n, where n is the number of hours for complete gastric evacuation. We estimated *n* for each month, from the average water temperature reported for OGC and OFC and from the reported relationship of n to water temperature for perciform fishes (Windell 1978). To estimate A for each month, we divided the number of juvenile salmonids observed in striped bass stomachs by the number of striped bass stomachs examined that month. The number of salmonids consumed by striped bass per month was estimated by multiplying the daily consumption by the number of striped bass present and the number of days in the month. The number of juvenile salmonids consumed over the 3-month period was calculated as the sum of the monthly estimates.

Estimating losses of adult salmonids.—To estimate a range of losses of adult salmonids, we multiplied estimates of juvenile salmonids lost to predation by the lowest and highest juvenile-to-adult survival rates for salmonids present in Coos Bay (Nickelson 1986; ODFW 1986; A. McGie, ODFW, personal communication). Estimated survival rates in Oregon waters ranged from 2.4 to 12.0%, depending on species and stock.

Results and Discussion

Our estimate of striped bass abundance in 1950 was 17,382 (Table 1), based on a marked population of 189, a total catch of 3,384, and the recapture of 36 marked fish (Morgan and Gerlach 1950). We estimated the 95% confidence interval to be 12,650–23,820. Compared with our 1950

presented by Month Apr May Jun Apr-Jun Apr May Jun Apr-Jun Apr May Jun Apr-Jun ADT May Jun Apr-Jun

TABLE 1.-

May Jun Apr–Jun

Apr

estimate, al ined increa timated nur per predato 1963, and Estimated predation l ranged fror 383,000 in in 1950 to 2).

Estimate (Morgan an because (1) river and n early or la and (2) the representat older than dance in 16 assumption an appropri

exiting by stringed base on juvenile full chronic subject was of particular concerns, because chronic admost perculations were relatified in Coos has they had declined to near zuro in the 1950s but them made a strong recovery. The decline of full chimods salmon in Coos listy coincided with lenge oppulations of striped base and loss of spawning inspirat, and the recovery edinoided with reduced introde basis populations and minoved habitat.

Because increased preduction on juvenile salmonids could result from larger populations of stripod bass, members of the public who were concerned about the salmon resource vocally opposed the embancement proposal. Consequently, ODFW was faced with making a decision on a polarized subject with only limited data. Our approach, described in this paper, was to summarize available information and estimate potential losses of invenile and adult salmonids at instorical striped biss population levels. Loss estimates were based on the limited data sensible, on assumptions based data on fatuation. Our approach for Goos Bay in perspective reltiftent was to put the striped bass enhancement ative to potential losses of juvenile salmen and ative to potential losses of juvenile salmen and strive to potential losses of juvenile salmen and strive to potential losses of juvenile salmen and

Vietbods

administration losses were expressed as losses of juveniles during their inigration to the ocean and as osses of adults that would have contributed to sport or constituted fisherics or to fatural or valchery propagation.

estimating lasses of jurnalie salimonials -- Losses of juvenile salimonids were estimated at the predabandance and numbers of juvenile raimonids consumed per predator-sized striped bass. Data on striped bass abandance same from a tagging study conducted in 1950 (Morgan and Gerlach 1950) and from the commercial fashers records on each per unit offort (CPUE; MoGie and Mullen 1979). Data on striped bass food habits consisted (1979). Data on striped bass food habits consisted of stomach analysis summarized in vapathished (1979). Data on striped bass abandance estimates and Oregon Fish Commission (OFC) Confridence intervals for our striped bass abandance estimates and Oregon Fish Commission (OFC) Confridence intervals for our striped bass abandance estimates and Oregon Fish Commission (OFC) Confridence intervals for our striped bass abandance estimates could not be colordance and CEUE for 1950 only. Also, we could not calculate confidence intris on band used to determine average stomates (contents that used to determine average stomates (contents

We estimated predator abundance in previous

Parts to put the chiamerment goals in purspective relative to historical levels of the predator parts lation. We used Chapman's modification of the Peterson mark and-recapture estimator (Racker 1975) and ragging data published by Morgan and Gerlach (1950) to estimate predation abundance for 1950. We used CFUE esta (average landing per licensed net) from the connected batters (MoGie and Mulles 1979) to extrapolato abusdance for 1960, 1962, 1963, and 1964 from the 1950 estimate.

Results and Discussion

Our extinuite of stiliped bass abundance in 1950 was 17,582 (Table I), based on a marked population of 199, a total eaten of 3,384, and the necluture of 36 marked fish (Morgan and Genhich 1950). We estimated the 95% confidence interval to be 12,650-23,820. Compared with out 1950

- I stort

ined increa binoned nu per predato Per predato Fatimated fatimated is 1950 to 183,000 in 183,000 in 183,000 in 183,000 in 1950 to 2) (Morgan at sering or la river and it older that dance in 19 older that assumptio

Month	Estimated striped bass abundance	Stomachs sampled	Juvenile salmonids in stomachs sampled	Water temperature (°C)	Evacuation time (h)	Juvenile salmonids consumed per predator per day
			1950			
Apr	17,382	208	8	10	55	0.017
May	17,382	299	30	12	45	0.054
Jun	17,382	149	1	18	24	0.007
Apr–Jun		648	39			
			1960			
Apr	25,409	76	6	9	65	0.029
May	25,409	108	25	11	50	0.111
Jun	25,409	20	0	18	24	0.0
Apr–Jun		204	31			
			1962			
Apr	31,251	725	194	14	36	0.178
May	31,251	350	43	12	45	0.066
Jun	31,251	212	2	18	24	0.009
Apr-Jun		1,287	239			
			1963			
Apr	43,409	798	207	9	65	0.096
May	43,409	587	193	13	41	0.192
Jun	43,409	38	0	19	21	0.0
Apr–Jun		1,523	400			
			1964			
Apr	24,852	295	18	11	50	0.029
May	24,852	198	49	12	45	0.132
Jun	24,852	0	0	17	27	0.0
Apr-Jun		493	67			

TABLE 1.—Data used to estimate juvenile salmonid losses to striped bass predation in the Coos River. Data are presented by month for years in which stomach contents of commercially caught striped bass were examined.

estimate, abundance in the other years we examined increased as much as 2.5-fold (Table 1). Estimated numbers of juvenile salmonids consumed per predator per day ranged from 0.0 in June 1960, 1963, and 1964 to 0.19 in May 1963 (Table 1). Estimated median numbers of salmonids lost to predation by striped bass in April through June ranged from more than 41,000 in 1950 to about 383,000 in 1963 for juveniles and from about 1,000 in 1950 to about 46,000 in 1963 for adults (Table 2).

v.e

·U-

he er nd ce gs ry n-

đ

Estimates of striped bass abundance in 1950 (Morgan and Gerlach 1950) may be conservative because (1) sampling targeted spawning fish in the river and may not have included fish that spawned early or late or those that remained in the bay, and (2) the fishery was highly selective and did not representatively sample fish of ages 1–3 or those older than age 10. Estimates of striped bass abundance in 1960 and 1962–1964 were made with the assumption that average catch per licensed net is an appropriate index of relative abundance among years. However, because mean CPUE often underestimates differences in abundance (Bannerot and Austin 1983), differences in abundance of striped bass among the years examined may have been greater than estimated.

Estimates of numbers of juvenile salmonids eaten per predator per day relied on several assumptions (Table 3) and may be conservative. Stomach contents from striped bass caught in the commercial gill-net fishery may have reflected smaller numbers of salmonids than were consumed, because fish continue to digest prey and sometimes regurgitate it while they are entangled in nets and struggle to get free (Windell and Bowen 1978). Also, losses may have been underestimated because the striped bass samples used were confined to fish caught in April, May, and June in the river proper; salmonids have been observed in stomachs sampled from fish collected by recreational anglers in February and March and from the bay downriver from the commercial fishery (Temple and Mirati 1986). Numbers of juvenile salmonids

ARLY I. - Data used to extinuity preentic valutionid losses to attract basis production in the Cook Steer. Data an

estimate, abondance in the other years we examined increased as much as 2,3-fold (Table 1). Evtumated numbers of javanile submonds consumed pet produtor per day ranged from 0.0 in lune 1960, 1963, and 1964 to 0.19 in May 1963 (Table 1). Estimated median numbers of submonds lost to predation by striped bass in April through June tranged from more than 41,000 in 1950 to about 383,000 in 1963 for juveniles and from about 1,000 in 1950 to about 46,009 in 1963 for adults (Table 2).

Estimates of striped bass abundance in 1950 (Morgan and Gerlach 1950) may be conservative because (1) sampling targeted spawning fish in the river and may not have included fish that spawned early or har or those that remained in the bay, and (2) the fishery was highly selective and did not representatively sample fish of ages 1–3 or those older than age 10. Estimates of striped bass abundance in 1960 and 1962–1964 were made with the assumption that average catch per licensed net is

cears. However, because mean CPUE often unterestimates differences in abundance (Barnerot and Austin 1983), differences in abundance of tripted bass among the years examined may have seen greater than estimated.

Estimates of numbers of juvenile saimonids euen per predetor per day relied on several assumptions (Table 3) and may be conservative. Stomach contents from striped base caught in the continerreal gill-oet lishery may have reflected staniler numbers of salimonids that were consumed, because fish continue to digest prey and sometimer regurgitate it while they are colongled in outs and stringgle to get free (Windell and Bowen 1978). Also, losses may have been underestimated because the striped base samples used were confined to fish daught in April. May, and tune in the niver proper: salmonids have been observed in stomucts sampled from fish collected by recreational downeyer from the commercial fishery (Temple

JOHNSON ET AL.

TABLE 2.—Estimates of juvenile salmonid losses to striped bass predation and estimates of the resulting adult salmonid losses in the Coos River. Data are presented by month for years in which stomach contents of commercially caught fish were examined. Juvenile-to-adult survival rates (S) represent the low and high values reported for salmonid species and stocks found in the Coos River.

	Estimates of median number of juvenile salmonids		median number Imonids lost
Month	consumed	<i>S</i> = 2.4%	<i>S</i> = 12.0%
	19	950	
Apr	8,865	213	1,064
May	29,097	698	3,492
Jun	3,650	88	438
Apr–Jun	41,612	999	4,994
	19	960	
Apr	22,106	530	2,653
May	87,432	2,098	10,492
lun	0	0	0
Apr–Jun	109,538	2,628	13,145
	19	962	
\pr	166,880	4,005	20,026
lay	63,940	1,535	7,673
un	8,438	203	1,013
pr–Jun	239,258	5,743	28,712
	19	963	
pr	125,018	3,000	15,002
lay	258,370	2,201	31,004
in	0	0	0
pr–Jun	383,388	9,201	46,006
	19	964	
or	21,621	519	2,595
ay	101,694	2,441	12,203
n	0	0	0
r-Jun	123,315	2,960	14,798

Coos Bay in the 1980s were at least comparable numbers of those present in the 1960s (Temple d Mirati 1986); however, we have no comparns for abundances of alternative prey. Striped is may be selecting juvenile salmonids at difent rates now than in the 1960s if abundances ilterntive prey have changed significantly. stimates of adult salmonid losses resulting from dation on juveniles are uncertain; these esties may be affected by the relative abundance he species and stocks of salmonids considered, by the absolute abundance of juvenile salmoand predators. The significance of predation vary greatly with changes in numbers of preds and prey (Larkin 1979). Also, because of

actions among predators, changes in preda-

and the second product

tion by one species may be compensated by corresponding changes in predation by another (Campbell 1979; Larkin 1979). How changes in striped bass predation affect survival of juvenile salmonids to adulthood may be confounded by how predation by the community of predators responds to changes in the population of one of its members. Also, net effects of predation in general on survival of juvenile salmonids to adulthood may be mitigated or amplified by density-dependent factors in the ocean.

When ODFW decided to evaluate the effects of striped bass enhancement on production of anadromous salmonids, it already recognized that striped bass would consume juvenile salmonds if given the opportunity (Shapovalov 1936; Morgan and Gerlach 1950; Thomas 1967). The questions were, how many juvenile salmonids would be lost to predation if the striped bass population were increased? and how would those losses affect the immediate and future production of anadromous salmonids? An important management objective was to develop a striped bass enhancement scheme that would not foreclose or reduce future enhancement options for salmonids.

We could not estimate the proportion of juvenile salmonids lost to striped bass predation during the months and years we examined because we had no data on numbers of juveniles migrating from or adults returning to the system. In fact, at the completion of our analysis, ODFW still had no program to collect this information. Lack of information on the proportion of juvenile salmonid production lost to predation is the reason we translated juvenile salmonid losses to estimates of adult salmonids lost. Decision makers felt that the significance of numbers of adult salmonids lost because of predation on juveniles could be judged independent of the relative size of the run.

The scientific merits of many of the assumptions critical to this analysis have been and will continue to be questioned. Despite the uncertainty in the salmonid loss estimates, these estimates were sufficient to make people on both sides of the issue consider the potential consequences of proposed actions and alternatives. Though possibly not fully supportable, our estimates of adult salmonid losses of up to 46,000 fish (Table 2) were especially helpful in conveying potential consequences of largescale striped bass enhancement to the public and to decision makers of the Oregon Fish and Wildlife Commission. The commissioners ultimately required development of a management plan that considered striped bass enhancement in the con-

TABLE 3.-A

Assu Striped bass feed and day

Prey items in stri identifiable and bass diet at the sampling

Estimated numbe available to the reflect numbers ent in areas wh for stomach sai

Population size is per licensed net

Striped bass stom ly represent pre feeding and noi striped bass

Digestion by strip the range of rat centrarchids an 1978)

Consumption of s bass is underest predation occur and areas samp

Salmonid surviva lated from juve to predation, fa reported for Or survival does n of juvenile salm present in Coos

text of all othe thorized enha lation to a leve as the minim able sport fish impacts on sa commissioner bass enhancer tential conseq that is, a redu nids. The plar of salmonids I and evaluated Although w

An example and encourter of greenile value and process a second basis production and encourter of the result of real metal bases in the Core Rover. Data and process is mobile for years in which moments contents of comrelativity cought risk were examined. Jovenir-to-antutativity cought risk were examined. Jovenir-to-antutativity cought risk were examined. Jovenir-to-antutativity of content the tow and high values reoreed to-realmontid species and stocks found in the Core type.

The second secon

non by one species any be compensated in viresponding charges in predation by anom-(Campbell 1979; Luwin 1979) New charges i surped have predation affect survival of juvenil subments to additioned may be confounded by how predation by the community of predation re sponds to charges in the population of one of in products. Also an effects of predation in process an survival of juvenile salaronids to additioned my be mitigated at amplified by density-depentern factors in the ocean.

The original devices to evaluate the effects of striped has entreportent on production of and reanous saturenids. It already neognized the series day would consume provenile saturonds if aven the opportunity (Stanpov dov 1936; Morgan aven the opportunity (Stanpov dov 1936; Morgan aven the opportunity (Stanpov dov 1936; Morgan avent the opportunity (Stanpov dov 1936; Morgan avent for saturation avent operation at the striped bass population was predation at the striped bass population was intreased? and how would these losses affect the monoids? An integrismit measurement objective at would not formedoe or reduce future enhances at would not saturation.

nile animoniais tost to stutped bass predation of juveing the months and years we examined because we had no data on numbers of juventies migrating the completion of our analysis. ODFW still had an program to collect this information. Each of information on the proportion of juvenile sale month preduction lost to predation is the reason and the summitted juvenile to the standid lostes to estimates to anoth summitted to be to predation is the reason and the summitted to be to predation that the sale to another of numbers of adult salmonidalost to excuse of predation on jevenics, could be tweed be to predation on jevenics, could be tweed

The schedule metric of many of the astimptions critical to this analysis have been and will continue to be questioned. Despite the uncertainty in the automid loss estimates, these estimates were suftheren to make people on both acids of the ione environs and alternatives. Though possibly not fully explorable, but estimates of adult sufmont losses approvable, but estimates of adult sufmont losses in up to 46,000 fish (Table 2) were expective helping to 46,000 fish (Table 2) were expective helpende subjective potential consequences of largeial to conveying potential consequences of largeing the schede has enhancement to the public and bit to conveying potential consequences of largepotentiation makers of the Origon fish and Wildlic inter development of a management pish that more development of a management pish that manadened starged base enhancement in the con-

A-L MANTE

per bad erec brenge

jeng nonis in 20 identifiche and here tifet at 16 satteling

elman banainel an or sidsilardi andrata sollor for sions primi programs sij

el sur container" Air barracht rog

torgent was store in monestic pa feathing and real strippet break

Orgentian by iding The range of tail communities an communities

ella nostrianittid teoriani e entid nittor itaniens qittan tama linta

Selmonid survivi hold from joint to prototom th magnetic for or surveral door a figuration of food prototom food

text of all oth thorized enhalation to a large as the minimable spart fish unpaces on as commissioner bass enhances tential conseq final is, a rodu tital is, a rodu nids. The plat and o simones

Is the assumption a violation of actuality? Assumption How? Results Striped bass feed continuously, night Yes. Feeding activity is greatest during Daytime samples overestimate nighttime and day daylight consumption. However, because catches in the commercial fishery come from day and night net-sets, the stomach samples represent both feeding patterns Prey items in striped bass stomachs are Yes. Digestion before and after death Numbers of salmonids consumed may be identifiable and represent striped of predator reduces identifiability of underestimated bass diet at the time and place of prey. Also, when striped bass are sampling caught in gill nets they may regurgitate their stomach contents Estimated numbers of striped bass Yes. Basis for estimating the number Numbers of salmonids consumed may be available to the commercial fishery of striped bass that potentially use overestimated. Striped bass population reflect numbers of striped bass presthe area is reasonable. However, not estimates are based on fish available to ent in areas where fish were caught all adult striped bass are present the commercial fishery; stomach samfor stomach samples during the entire April-June period ples used in consumption modeling are taken from these fish Population size is proportional to catch Yes. Catch per licensed net is not an Striped bass population may be underestiper licensed net absolute measure of catch per unit of mated effort: however, it is the best available measure of population size Striped bass stomach samples accurate-No. Consumption rates are expressed Overall rates of consumption of salmoly represent prey consumption by as total salmonids in the stomachs nids by striped bass are valid, regardless feeding and nonfeeding (spawning) divided by total number of striped of mix of feeding and nonfeeding striped bass bass stomachs sampled, thus no bias striped bass sampled occurs Digestion by striped bass occurs within Unknown, However, a median value Consumption rates may be overestimated the range of rates determined for in a range from low to high rates is or underestimated, depending on direccentrarchids and percids (Mann used tion of error in digestion rates 1978) Consumption of salmonids by striped Yes. There is evidence that striped Numbers of salmonids consumed may be bass is underestimated, but most bass running upriver in January, underestimated. However, most predapredation occurs within the times February, and March consume tion occurs within the times and areas and areas sampled salmonids, and that striped bass in sampled midbay consume salmonids Salmonid survival to adulthood, calcu-Yes. Survival in rivers and the ocean Numbers of juvenile salmonids that do lated from juvenile salmonid losses may vary, depending on numbers of not survive to adulthood because of to predation, falls within the range predation may be underestimated or juvenile salmonids or predators reported for Oregon waters-that is, present overestimated, depending on direction survival does not vary with numbers of changes in juvenile salmonid and of juvenile salmonids or predators predator numbers present in Coos Bay or the ocean

TABLE 3.-Assumptions on which estimates of juvenile and adult salmonid losses were based.

text of all other Coos Bay fisheries. That plan authorized enhancement of the striped bass population to a level of 20,000 adults, which was viewed as the minimum number required for an acceptable sport fishery and for effective assessment of impacts on salmonids. Our analysis allowed the commissioners to reach their decision on striped bass enhancement with the knowledge of its potential consequence on the salmonid population that is, a reduction of up to 15,000 adult salmonids. The plan also directed that the consumption of salmonids by striped bass be closely monitored and evaluated.

Although we can only conjecture whether the

same policy would have emerged in the absence of our analysis, both sides perceived the process as a good-faith effort by ODFW to use available data to address some of the major questions. Our analysis confirmed that large striped bass populations may limit enhancement options for anadromous salmonids, and it identified information (e.g., estimates of present juvenile salmonid and striped bass production potential) needed to develop striped bass management strategies in the Coos Bay management plan. These information needs prompted a research effort that accompanied the authorization to enhance the striped bass population to 20,000 adults. Consequently, we be-

DEVE DAUGHTS ON DOLE AD AUTOMOUS DAVE

text of all other Coos Bay fahretes. That plan audiorized enhancement of the surped base population to a level of 20,000 aduits, which was viewed and the minimum number required for an acceptmable sport fahrery and for effective assessment of impacts on rationnic. Our analysis allowed the domainsteament to reach their decision on striped base cohancement with the knowledge of its gotestial consequence on the samonid population – that is, a reduction of up to 15,000 adult selfnomade. The plan also duceted that the consumption of asimonics by striped base be closely monitored and evaluated.

Although we can only conjecture whether the

same policy would have emerged to the absence of our analysis, both sides perceived the process as a good-faith effort by ODFW to use available data to address some of the major questions. Our majysis confirmed that large striped bass popullations may limit enhancement options for anadromous salmonids, and it identified information (e.g. estimates of present juvenile silmonid and striped bass production potential) needed to develop striped bass management strategies in the needs prompted a research affort that accompaneeds prompted a research affort that accompaneeds the automates in enhance the striped basneeds the automates in enhance the striped baspopulation to 20,000 atuits. Consequently, we believe that the effort to estimate salmonid losses from striped bass predation was useful in addressing this particular fisheries management issue.

Acknowledgments

We thank Al Mirati and Ray Beamesderfer for help in data preparation; we also thank Don Rottiers and Bob Ross for reviewing the manuscript.

References

- Bajkov, A. D. 1935. How to estimate the daily food consumption of fish under natural conditions. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 65: 288–289.
- Bannerot, S. P., and C. B. Austin. 1983. Using frequency distributions of catch per unit effort to measure fish-stock abundance. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 112:608–617.
- Campbell, P. K. 1979. Predation principles in large rivers: a review. Pages 181–192 in H. C. Clepper, editor. Predator-prey systems in fisheries management. Sport Fishing Institute, Washington, D.C.
- Deppert, D. L., and J. B. Mense. 1980. Effect of striped bass predation on an Oklahoma trout fishery. Proceedings of the Annual Conference Southeastern Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies 33(1979): 384–392.
- Grant, W. E. 1986. Systems analysis and simulation in wildlife and fisheries sciences. Wiley, New York.
- Larkin, P. A. 1979. Predator-prey relations in fishes: an overview of the theory. Pages 13-20 in H. C. Clepper, editor. Predator-prey systems in fisheries management. Sport Fishing Institute, Washington, D.C.
- Mann, K. H. 1978. Estimating the food consumption of fish in nature. Pages 250–278 *in* S. B. Gerking, editor. The ecology of freshwater fish production. Wiley, New York.
- Manooch, C. S. III. 1973. Food habits of yearling and adult striped bass, *Morone saxatilis* (Walbaum), form Albemarle Sound, North Carolina. Chesapeake Science 14:73–86.
- McGie, A., and R. Mullen. 1979. Age, growth, and population trends of striped bass, *Morone saxatilis*, in Oregon. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Information Report 79-8, Portland.
- Merriman, D. 1941. Studies on the striped bass (*Roccus saxatilis*) of the Atlantic coast. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Fishery Bulletin 50(35):1–77.
- Milliman, S. R., A. P. Grima, and C. J. Walters. 1987. Policy making within an adaptive management framework, with an application to lake trout (Sal-

velinus namaycush) management. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 44 (Supplement 2):425–430.

- Morgan, A., and A. Gerlach. 1950. Striped bass studies on Coos Bay, Oregon in 1949 and 1950. Joint report of the Oregon Fish Commission and the Oregon Game Commission to the 46th Oregon Legislature, Salem.
- Nickelson, T. E. 1986. Influences of upwelling, ocean temperature, and smolt abundance on marine survival of coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*) in the Oregon production area. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 43:527–535.
- ODFW (Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife). 1986. Comprehensive plan for production and management of Oregon's anadromous salmon and trout, part III, steelhead plan, 1986–1992. ODFW, Portland.
- Ricker, W. E. 1975. Computation and interpretation of biological statistics of fish populations. Fisheries Research Board of Canada Bulletin 191.
- Rulifson, R. A., and S. A. McKenna. 1987. Food of striped bass in the upper Bay of Fundy, Canada. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 116: 119-122.
- Schaefer, R. H. 1970. Feeding habits of striped bass from the surf waters of Long Island. New York Fish and Game Journal 17:1–17.
- Setzler-Hamilton, E. M., J. A. Whipple, and R. B. MacFarlane. 1988. Striped bass populations in Chesapeake and San Francisco Bays: two environmentally impacted estuaries. Marine Pollution Bulletin 19:466–477.
- Shapovalov, L. 1936. Food of the striped bass. California Fish and Game 24:261-271.
- Temple, R., and A. Mirati. 1986. Striped bass and salmonid management issues in Coos Bay, Oregon. Section I. Potential impacts of striped bass on salmonids. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Portland.
- Thomas, J. L. 1967. The diet of juvenile and adult striped bass, *Roccus saxatilis*, in the Sacramento-San Joaquin river system. California Fish and Game 53:49–62.
- Walters, C. J. 1986. Adaptive management of renewable resources. MacMillian, New York.
- Windell, J. T. 1978. Digestion and the daily ration of fishes. Pages 159–183 in S. D. Gerkings, editor. Ecology of freshwater production. Wiley, New York.
- Windell, J. T., and S. H. Bowen. 1978. Methods for study of fish diets based on analysis of stomach contents. IBP (International Biological Programme) Handbook 3:219–226.

Biologis ward devi stock of fis (Fausch e cause they may limit However, not elucid fish of diff the qualit size structi example, s nus fontin the fish m dividuals knowledge of salmon In some of fish ha habitat qu (1981), Bc life stages vital subd of brown Raleigh et separately stages of b (1980) dev (total lengt Recentl

> ¹ The Ur Wyoming, and the U.!

sm Fo frc pre ula bre ho

rea

bre

nore that the effort to estimate salaronid losses from striped bass predation was useful in addressing this periodar fisheries management loss

4 2: 07

Acknowledgments

the marke At Mirght and Kay Brannesderfer for help in data preparation; we also think Don Rottiers and Rob Ross for reviewing the manuscrime

References

- particle A. D. 1935. How to estimate the daily field consumption of fish under natural conditions. Distanticions of the American Picheries Society 65: 288-289.
- Armiterot, S. P., and C. B. Anstin. 1983. Using fraovercy distributions of catch ger unit effort to gransure flex-stock abundance. Transactions of the Armitican Fisheries Society 112 608-617
- Human J. X. 1919. Predmion principles in large rivers: a review. Pages 181-192 or H. C. Clepton, editor. Predmor-prev systems in fatieritis management. Sport Fishing Instrum. In Adventus manage-
- Deppert, D. L. and J. B. Mente, 1980, Effect of subject base predation on an Octohoma total fasters, Proceedings of the Annual Conference Southeastern Association of Fish and Wilding Agencies 33(1979); 384–392.
- Hand, W. E. 1986. Systems analysis and domination in wildlife and determine sciences. Wiley, New York and overview of the theory. Pages 13-30 in H. C. Otopore editor. Productionary systems in Bilieries management. Sport Fishing Institute, Washington D.C.
- Mann, K. H. 1978. Estimating the food consumption of fish in nature. Pages 210-278 in S. B. Geffling, editor. The ecology of freshwarer fish production, Wiley, New York.
- Autoent, C. S. HL. 1973. Food habits of venting and adult singed bass. *Horone to confert* Weibaum), form Albertarile Sound: North Carolina. Chrouperley Sciome 14:73–86.
- population tends of striped bass, Metone ankattlic population tends of striped bass, Metone ankattlic in Dregot, Oregon Department of Field and Wildlife Information Report 79-8, Portland
- Automatics O. 1941. Studies on the stringed basis (Rocear navaulas) of the Atlantic coast. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Fishery Bulletin 50(38):1-27
- Policy making within an adaptive management framework, with an application to lake trout \$500.

of Elsherter and Aquitic Sciences 44 (Susplanes) 2);423-430

- on Cons Bay, Oregon in 1930. Stripol basis studies of the Oregon Fight Commission and HSO. Joint report of the Oregon Fight Commission and the Oregon Game Commission to the 46th Oregon Legislation. Sciem.
- teresperature and smoli abutuences of upwelding ocean teresperature and smoli abundance on murfae surversi of cale submon (Onerchynovint Kuster/i) in the Onegon production hits. Canadian Journal of Fighmits and Aquatic Sciences 43:527–533
- (986 Comprehensive plan for production and management of Oregon's anadromota sainon and trout, part III, aregineral plan, 1986–1992, ODEW, Portland.
- A set of the 1973. Computation and interpretation of Mological summers of hish populations. Fubrales Research Board of Canada Bulleur, 101
- Autocon, P. A. and S. A. McKenna, 1987, Food of striped bass in the upper Bay of Fundy, Canada, Transmitons of the American Fasherids Society 116 (19-12).
- from the surf waters of Long habits of striped hats and Game Journal 17:1-17
- MacEurinne, 1988. Stringed bass populations in Chrosposile and San Francisco Bays two environmentally imported caturries. Marine Pollution Hulletin 19:456-417.
- functional 12, 1976. Poud of the striped hass, Callformin Fish and Game 24:261-271
- satistical A. Mirtuil. 1986. Stribut bass and satistical trainagement issues in Coos Bas. Oregon, Section 1. Forential inspaces of stripted bass on satisticalds. Gregon Department of Fish and Wildblic. Portland.
- straped basic Horeno according in the Secremento-San Iongene reversion according in the Secremento-San Iongene reversivation California Figh and Conte \$3:49-85
- able recorded. Adaptive management of seven-
- Anhen Pages 159-163 in S O. Octkurga, editor finite: Pages 159-163 in S O. Octkurga, editor Edding; pl flexinwater production. When, New York, Windell, J. T., and S.-HE Bowen, 1978. Methods, for starty of Bill dicts based on analysis of stuniach contexts. IBP (Informational Histopical Programme) Handboor. J. 219-220.

Biologis ward dev stock of fu Grause shop cause shop may limit and glucid fibe of diff hash of diff and glucid size sfructure size sfructure with the fibe divisture divisture and fibe ha fin some of samea proving and biolist of separately separately separately separately (1981), Bo biolist of separately separately separately (1980) der stages of b separately (1980) der stages of b separately (1980) der stages of b separately

The United