

Effects of Recent Climate and Fire on Thermal Habitats within a Mountain Stream Network: An Example with a Native Char Species



Dan Isaak, Charlie Luce, Bruce Rieman, Dave Nagel, and Erin Peterson¹

> U.S. Forest Service Rocky Mountain Research Station Boise, ID 83702



¹CSIRO Mathematical and Information Sciences Indooroopilly, Queensland, Australia

Fish Art Courtesy of Joe Tomelleri









Spatial Statistical Models for Stream Networks



Advantages:

-flexible covariance structures account for different spatial autocorrelations
-weighting by stream size
-improved predictive ability & parameter estimates relative to OLS

Peterson et al. 2006; Ver Hoef and Peterson 2007



Boise River Watershed

<u>Stream Temperature Database</u> 787 observations 518 unique locations







Response Variable Stream MWMT (highest 7-day moving average of the maximum daily temperatures)

Predictor Variables

Geomorphic attributes (DEM derived) -basin elevation -basin size -reach slope -glaciated valley extent -alluviated/flat valley extent

Climate attributes (3 weather & 2 flow stations) -annual summer discharge -annual air MWMT

Solar radiation (TM satellite imagery pre- & postfire)





Model Selection Results



Model				AIC	
Туре	Model Predictors	R ²	ΔAIC_{c}	weight	RMSPE
Spatial	Elev, Glacial, Vall_bot, Rad, Air_MWMT, Summ_flow	0.85	0.00	0.92	1.575
Spatial	Elev, Glacial, Vall_bot, Rad, Air_MWMT, Summ_flow, Slope, DS_area	0.85	5.03	0.07	1.588
Spatial	Elev, Rad, Air_MWMT, Summ_flow	0.85	15.85	0.00	1.597
Spatial	Elev, Air_MWMT, Summ_flow, Slope, DS_area	0.84	61.66	0.00	1.639
Spatial	Elev, Glacial, Vall_bot, Lake, Drain_dens, Slope, DS_area	0.83	152.35	0.00	1.697
OLS	Elev, Glacial, Vall_bot, Rad, Air_MWMT, Summ_flow	0.55	770.65	0.00	2.750
OLS	Elev, Glacial, Vall_bot, Rad, Air_MWMT, Summ_flow, Slope, DS_area	0.54	777.95	0.00	2.760
OLS	Elev, Rad, Air_MWMT, Summ_flow	0.53	800.15	0.00	2.800
OLS	Elev, Air_MWMT, Summ_flow, Slope, DS_area	0.45	921.25	0.00	3.028
OLS	Elev, Glacial, Vall_bot, Lake, Drain_dens, Slope, DS_area	0.45	927.15	0.00	3.040







2008

Scenarios

1) Baseline conditions (1993) - prefire radiation, -high summer flows,

-cool air temperatures

2) Current conditions (2006) - postfire radiation (23% burn),
 -low summer flows (decrease by 42%),
 -warm air temperatures (MWMT increased by 2°C)

3) Current conditions (no fire) - prefire radiation,
 -low summer flows (decreased by 42 %),
 -warm air temperatures (MWMT increased by 2°C)





Bull Trout Habitat Requirements



Stream Distance



Bull Trout Temperature Patches Baseline Conditions (1993)





Bull Trout Temperature Patches Current Conditions (2006)





Bull Trout Temperature Patches Current Conditions (No Fire)







Conclusions...

-Recent losses of suitable thermal habitat may be substantial. Most losses were related to air temperature & flow regime. Fire effects were small at the watershed scale, but important locally & could become more important depending on the distribution of future fires

-Hydrologic regimes trending towards lower annual yields and summer baseflows, which could exacerbate stream warming, but also decrease habitat extent in headwater streams

-Biological assessments needed to determine whether populations respond immediately or lag environmental shifts?

-Continuation of current trends suggest difficult choices for management agencies. Current federal recovery planning efforts for bull trout do little to acknowledge climate threats.

-Future efforts to conserve species will require proactive management & models capable of translating global patterns to habitat networks at landscape scales





Future work ...

-Describe changes in thermal habitats for other species (winners / losers), in different areas of a species' range (core / margins), or relative to other modeling approaches (broad- / finescale)

-Improve model predictions by using higher resolution air temperature / flow inputs or improving radiation submodel (LiDAR representation of riparian structure?)

-Forecast habitat distributions associated with future climate & fire scenarios (which areas may be most sensitive to change?)

-Other applications: 1) explore physical processes affecting stream temperature, 2) develop more efficient monitoring protocols for stream networks (stream temperature, water quality, biological attributes), 3) integrate results with outputs from other models (biological, hydrological)







US Forest Service Rocky Mountain Research Station



