## COUNTY OF VENTURA BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES INITIAL STUDY

Troject: CUP-5177	
Field Study: Tyes X No	
ustification: Photos and site plans submitted by applicant indicate that the antenna, equipmer	ıt
helter and pole will be located along the bike path parallel to the Hwy 101 on-ramp from	
Emma Wood State Beach, where disturbance to native plants and animal species will be	
ninimal.	

## A. CHECKLIST

Date: March 27, 2001 Requestor: Janie King

Biological Resources Issues N		Project Impact Degree of Effect LS PS-M PS			Cumulative Impact Degree of Effect N LS PS-M			PS
Issues	IN	LO	1 2-141	13	14	LO	10-141	
a. endangered, threatened, or rare					$\boxtimes$			
species b. wetland habitat c. coastal habitat								
d. migration corridors e. locally important species/communities								

Degree of Effect Explanation

N= No effect

LS = Less than significant effect

PS-M = Potentially Significant effect; Mitigation incorporated for a ND

PS = Potentially Significant effect; EIR required

## **B. DISCUSSION**

No endangered, threatened, or rare plants or animals are present on the roadside and bike trail where the proposed antenna and equipment shelter would be located. Sensitive species known to occur within the area (California Fish & Game, 1999) would not occupy the habitat available for any appreciable time. No wetland is present at the site. The area is near coastal habitat, but most of the plant species present at the site based on the photographs and a brief site visit are indicative of disturbed areas. The dominant plants present are tumbleweed (Salsola sp.), tender fountain grass (Pennisetum setaceum), shad-scale (Atriplex canescens), purple nightshade (Solanum xantii) and tree tobacco (Nicotiana glauca.) Of these, the nightshade and the shad-scale are in the shrub community and native to Southern California. The tender fountain grass is considered invasive, and the tree tobacco and tumbleweed are common to disturbed areas. No significant impacts to the local habitat or plant community are anticipated.

The project site does not serve as a migration corridor given its limited extent and location adjacent to a freeway on-ramp. No locally important species or communities are present at the site.

## C. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE

Does the project have the potential to significantly degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species,	Yes/Maybe	<u>No</u>
cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal?		×
2. Does the project have the potential to achieve short-term, to the disadvantage of long-term, environmental goals?		
3. Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable?		×
D. MITIGATION MEASURES  Recommended  Required for Negative Declarate	ion 🗌	
No mitigation measures necessary.		
E. DETERMINATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT FRO PERSPECTIVE	OM A BIOLOG	ICAL
Negative Declaration Mitigated Negative Declaration	EIR Required	
Reviewer: Rincon Consultants, Inc.	Pate: 4/(0/5	y >√
Phone: 641-1000		
F. REFERENCES		
California Department of Fish and Game (October 1999a). State and Threatened, and Rare Plants of California. 16 pgs. Natural Herita Conservation Program		
California Department of Fish and Game (October 1999b). State and and Threatened Animals of California. 12 pgs. Natural Heritage Data Base.		
California Department of Fish and Game (June 1999a). Special Plant Heritage Division, Natural Diversity Data Base.	s List. 119 pgs. N	latural
California Department of Fish and Game (June 1999b). Special Anim	als. 42+ pgs.	

- County of Ventura (August 3, 1999). Administrative Supplement to State CEQA Guidelines for the Implementation of California Environmental Quality Act. 72 pgs.
- Holland, Robert F. (October 1986). Preliminary Descriptions of the Terrestrial Natural Communities of California. California Department of Fish and Game, Nongame Heritage Program. 156 pgs.
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service (November 30, 1998). Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants. Special Reprint, Code of Federal Regulations, Title 50, Part 17, Subpart B.
- Zeiner, D., W.F. Laudenslayer, Jr., and K.E. Mayer (May 1988). *California's Wildlife*. California Statewide Wildlife Habitat Relationship System, Volumes I, II, & III. California Department of Fish and Game.