COUNTY OF VENTURA BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES INITIAL STUDY

Date. July 0, 1999
Requestor: Ron Allen
Project: CUP-5078
Field Study: ☐ Yes ☒ No
Justification: Additional detailed field surveys will be needed to determine the potential for significant effects.
Justification: Additional detailed field surveys will be needed to determine the potential for

A. CHECKLIST

Data: July 8 1000

Biulogical Resources Issues	Ň	Project Degree LS	Impact of Effect S	Uni	N	iumeled Degree LS	ve Impact of Effect S	
a. endangered, threatened, or rare species				\boxtimes				\boxtimes
b. wetland habitat				\boxtimes				\boxtimes
c. coastal habitat	\boxtimes				\boxtimes			
d. migration corridors		\boxtimes				\boxtimes		
e. locally important				\boxtimes				\boxtimes
species/communities								

Degree of Effect Explanation

N= None

 $LS = Less \ than \ significant \ effect$

S = Significant effect; MND or EIR required

U = Unknown; EIR required

B. DISCUSSION

The project site is a mixture of non-native grassland in the lower portions toward Tierra Rejada Road and native coastal sage scrub on the upper slopes based on previous experience in the area and recent "drive-bys" along the State Route 23 freeway. While the non-native grassland would not be considered sensitive, any removal of coastal sage scrub may have a significant impact on rare plant and animal species. A California gnatcatcher survey was done on the adjacent parcel to the west in 1997 with negative results (Impact Sciences, September 10, 1997). Nonetheless, given the habitat available and the known occurrence of the federally-listed threatened California gnatcatcher within approximately one mile, the potential for this species residing at the site needs to be investigated. This will require a species specific sur vey based on the US Fish and Wildlife protocol. In addition, other sensitive animal species that may be present include the coastal cactus wren and the Southern California rufous-crowned sparrow, both California species of special concern. Both of these species possibly breed at the project site, the wren within cactus patches, and the sparrow within the sparse coastal sage scrub shrubs on the upper slopes of the site. Other sensitive species potentially at the site include the coastal western whiptail, loggerhead shrike, and coast patch-nosed snake. Potential impacts on these species need to be addressed. Sensitive plant species known to be in the area include the endangered Lyon's pentachaeta (Pentachaeta lyonii) and threatened Conejo live-forever



(Dudleya abramsii spp. parva); however, soils at this location are not expected to be suitable for these species. Catalina mariposa-lily (Calochortus catalinae), a List 4 plant as indicated in the CDFG Special Plant List, may also be present scattered through the grassland. A floristic survey should be conducted of the proposed construction area and all portions of land to be modified by either direct grading or irrigation to fully assess the potential for sigificant effects.

Based on the topographic map. several small drainages cross the site, the largest two in the eastern portion. Also, internal plateaus are apparently present along the 8th fairway and 6th tees. These topographic conditions are condusive to the formation of vernal pools, such as exist one mile west of the project site. As part of the floristic survey, these areas should be checked for potential wetland species, and the need for permitting under Section 404 of the federal Clean Water Act determined.

C. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE

	Yes/Maybe	<u>No</u>
1. Does the project have the potential to significantly degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal?	\boxtimes	П
2. Does the project have the potential to achieve short-term, to the disadvantage of long-term, environmental goals?	\boxtimes	
3. Does the project have impacts which are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable?	\boxtimes	
D. MITIGATION MEASURES		
Recommended Required for Negative Declara	ition 🗌	
project site should be done to determine the potential for sensitive s wetland vegetation. A specific California gnatcatcher survey shoul unless the US Fish and Wildlife Service indicates otherwise. E. DETERMINATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT FE PERSPECTIVE	d also be done at	the site
Negative Declaration Mitigated Negative Declaration	EIR Required [
Cannot be determined at this time.		
Reviewer: Rincon Consultants, Inc.	Date: 7/8/	99
Phone: 641-1000		

F. REFERENCES

- Impact Sciences, September 10, 1997. Coastal California Gnatcher Survey, letter report to Mary-Beth Woulfe, US Fish and Wildlife Service.
- California Department of Fish and Game (July 1997a). *Endangered, Threatened, and Rare Plants of California*. 14 pgs. Natural Heritage Division, Plant Conservation Program
- California Department of Fish and Game (July 1997b). Endangered and Threatened Animals of California. 11 pgs. Natural Heritage Division, Natural Diversity Data Base.
- California Department of Fish and Game (July 1997c). Special Plants List. 112 pgs. Natural Heritage Division, Natural Diversity Data Base.
- California Department of Fish and Game (August 1994). Special Animals. 28 pgs.
- Holland, Robert F. (October 1986). Preliminary Descriptions of the Terrestrial Natural Communities of California. California Department of Fish and Game, Nongame Heritage Program. 156 pgs.
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service (January 31, 1997). US Listed Flowering Plant Species Index by Lead Region and Status, as of January 31, 1997.
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service (February 28, 1996). Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Review of Plant and Animal Taxa That Are Candidates for Listing as Endangered or Threatened Species.
- Zeiner, D., W.F. Laudenslayer, Jr., and K.E. Mayer (May 1988). *California's Wildlife*. California Statewide Wildlife Habitat Relationship System, Volumes I, II, & III. California Department of Fish and Game.