Historic Biological Reports Scan Control Sheet

County Project Number(s):	CUP-5135
Report Type (check one): Initial Study Species Inventory/Survey Focused Study EIR Draft EIR EIS ND MND Other	
Report Date (Month/Day/Year):	10/04/1999
Check if the following apply to the	Type (check one): Study ies Inventory/Survey sed Study EIR Date (Month/Day/Year): I the following apply to the report: and and/or aquatic habitat
☐ Wetland and/or aquatic habitat	
☐ Within designated Coastal Zone	
☐ Potential movement corridor for f	ish and/or wildlife

COUNTY OF VENTURA BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES INITIAL STUDY

Date: October 2, 1999	
Requestor: Debbie Morrisset	
Project: CUP-5135 Sprint Communications Wireless Communication Facility	
Field Study: 🛛 Yes 🔲 No	
Justification: Need to determine whether vegetation, and thus wildlife habitat,	would
be impacted onsite	

A. CHECKLIST

Biological Resources		Project Degree o LS		i v	umulati Degree i LS	The second second second second	t U
a. endangered, threatened, or rare species	⋈			×			
b. wetland habitat	\boxtimes			\boxtimes			
c. coastal habitat	⊠			\boxtimes			
d. migration corridors	\boxtimes						
e. locally important species/communities	\boxtimes			×			

Degree of Effect Explanation

N= None

LS = Less than significant effect

S = Significant effect; MND or EIR required

U = Unknown; EIR required

B. DISCUSSION

C. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE

The project consists of the installation of a wireless communications storage facility adjacent to an above ground oil storage tank at 18000 South Mountain Road, Santa Paula, Ventura County, California. Project components to be installed on site consist of the following: one 35-foot monopole with three sectors of four panel antennas, seven equipment cabinets, and one three-foot GPS antenna. Project installation involves removal of ruderal vegetation (primarily grasses) that has grown up through a layer of gravel onsite. As currently outlined in the site plans, the surrounding coastal sage scrub and oak woodland would not be impacted through project construction. A single laurel sumac shrub located onsite would need to be trimmed, however, in order to allow construction equipment access to the site.

A September 2000 search of the California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB) via the RAREFIND2 software listed no special-status species likely to be present onsite. No sign of special-status species were observed during the September 13, 2000 site visit, and none would be expected due to the disturbed nature of the site.

No significant impacts to biological resources are expected due to development of this project, as outlined above. However, if construction activities were to extend into the adjacent native vegetation, significant impacts could occur. Yes/Maybe No 1. Does the project have the potential to significantly degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below selfsustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant \boxtimes or animal? 2. Does the project have the potential to achieve short-term, to the П Ø disadvantage of long-term, environmental goals? 3. Does the project have impacts, which are individually limited, but \boxtimes cumulatively considerable? D. MITIGATION MEASURES Required for Negative Declaration Recommended No mitigation is required. E. DETERMINATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT FROM A BIOLOGICAL PERSPECTIVE Negative Declaration Mitigated Negative Declaration EIR Required Reviewer: Date: ____ Jamie L. King, M.S. October 2, 2000 Associate Biologist for Rincon Consultants, Inc. Phone: 641-1000 Ext. 32 F. REFERENCES California Department of Fish and Game, California Natural Diversity Database. 2000. RAREFIND2 software. September

- California Department of Fish and Game (July 2000). State and Federally Listed Endangered, Threatened, and Rare Plants of California. 16 pgs. Natural Heritage Division, Plant Conservation Program
- California Department of Fish and Game (July 2000). State and Federally Listed Endangered and Threatened Animals of California. 11 pgs. Natural Heritage Division, Natural Diversity Data Base.

- California Department of Fish and Game (July 2000). Special Plants List. 112 pgs. Natural Heritage Division, Natural Diversity Data Base.
- California Department of Fish and Game (July 2000). Special Animals. 44 pgs.
- Dale, Nancy. 1986. Flowering Plants, The Santa Monica Mountains Coastal and Chaparral Regions of Southern California. Capra Press. Santa Barbara.
- Holland, Robert F. (October 1986). Preliminary Descriptions of the Terrestrial Natural Communities of California. California Department of Fish and Game, Nongame Heritage Program. 156 pgs.
- National Geographic. 1999. Field Guide to Birds of North America.
- Rincon Consultants, Inc. September 13, 2000. Field visit by Biologist, Jamie L. King, M.S.
- Thayer Birding Software. 1998. Version 2.5.
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service (January 31, 1997). US Listed Flowering Plant Species Index by Lead Region and Status, as of January 31, 1997.
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service (February 28, 1996). Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Review of Plant and Animal Taxa That Are Candidates for Listing as Endangered or Threatened Species.
- Zeiner, D., W.F. Laudenslayer, Jr., and K.E. Mayer (May 1988). California's Wildlife. California Statewide Wildlife Habitat Relationship System, Volumes I, II, & III. California Department of Fish and Game.