

STREAM INVENTORY REPORT

Lupton Creek

INTRODUCTION

A stream inventory was conducted during the summer of 2001 on Lupton Creek. The survey began at the confluence with Redwood Creek and extended upstream 0.7 miles. The objective of the habitat inventory was to document the habitat available to anadromous salmonids in Lupton Creek.

The objective of this report is to document the current habitat conditions and recommend options for the potential enhancement of habitat for chinook salmon, coho salmon, and steelhead trout. Recommendations for habitat improvement activities are based upon target habitat values suitable for salmonids in California's north coast streams.

WATERSHED OVERVIEW

Lupton Creek is a tributary to Redwood Creek, a tributary to the Pacific Ocean, located in Humboldt County, California (Map 1). Lupton Creek's legal description at the confluence with Redwood Creek is T06N R03E S15. Its location is 40°54'1.71" north latitude and 123°50'55.65" west longitude. Lupton Creek is a first order stream and has approximately 3.1 miles of blue line stream according to the USGS Lord Ellis Summit 7.5 minute quadrangle. Lupton Creek drains a watershed of approximately 5.2 square miles. Elevations range from about 800 feet at the mouth of the creek to 2,600 feet in the headwater areas. Redwood/Douglas fir and mixed hardwood forest dominates the watershed. The watershed is entirely privately owned and is managed for timber production. Vehicle access exists via Highway 299 to Chezem Road.

METHODS

The habitat inventory conducted in Lupton Creek follows the methodology presented in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual* (Flosi et al, 1998). The DFG Scientific Aides that conducted the inventory were trained in standardized habitat inventory methods by the California Department of Fish and Game (DFG). This inventory was conducted by a two-person team.

SAMPLING STRATEGY

The inventory uses a method that samples approximately 10% of the habitat units within the survey reach. All habitat units included in the survey are classified according to habitat type and their lengths are measured. All pool units are measured for maximum depth, depth of pool tail

crest (measured in the thalweg), dominant substrate composing the pool tail crest, and embeddedness. Habitat unit types encountered for the first time are measured for all the parameters and characteristics on the field form. Additionally, from the ten habitat units on each field form page, one is randomly selected for complete measurement.

HABITAT INVENTORY COMPONENTS

A standardized habitat inventory form has been developed for use in California stream surveys and can be found in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual*. This form was used in Lupton Creek to record measurements and observations. There are nine components to the inventory form.

1. Flow:

Flow is measured in cubic feet per second (cfs) at the bottom of the stream survey reach using a Marsh-McBirney Model 2000 flow meter.

2. Channel Type:

Channel typing is conducted according to the classification system developed and revised by David Rosgen (1985 rev. 1994). This methodology is described in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual*. Channel typing is conducted simultaneously with habitat typing and follows a standard form to record measurements and observations. There are five measured parameters used to determine channel type: 1) water slope gradient, 2) entrenchment, 3) width/depth ratio, 4) substrate composition, and 5) sinuosity. Channel characteristics are measured using a hand level, hip chain, tape measure, and a stadia rod.

3. Temperatures:

Both water and air temperatures are measured and recorded at every tenth habitat unit. The time of the measurement is also recorded. Both temperatures are taken in degrees Fahrenheit at the middle of the habitat unit and within one foot of the water surface.

4. Habitat Type:

Habitat typing uses the 24 habitat classification types defined by McCain and others (1988). Habitat units are numbered sequentially and assigned a type identification number selected from a standard list of 24 habitat types. Dewatered units are labeled "dry". Lupton Creek habitat typing used standard basin level measurement criteria. These parameters require that the minimum length of a described habitat unit must be equal to or greater than the stream's mean wetted width. All measurements are in feet to the nearest tenth. Habitat characteristics are measured using a hip chain, and stadia rod.

5. Embeddedness:

The depth of embeddedness of the cobbles in pool tail-out areas is measured by the percent of the cobble that is surrounded or buried by fine sediment. In Lupton Creek, embeddedness was ocularly estimated. The values were recorded using the following ranges: 0 - 25% (value 1), 26 - 50% (value 2), 51 - 75% (value 3) and 76 - 100% (value 4). Additionally, a value of 5 was assigned to tail-outs deemed unsuited for spawning due to inappropriate substrate particle size, bedrock, or other considerations.

6. Shelter Rating:

Instream shelter is composed of those elements within a stream channel that provide salmonids protection from predation, reduce water velocities so fish can rest and conserve energy, and allow separation of territorial units to reduce density related competition. The shelter rating is calculated for each fully-described habitat unit by multiplying shelter value and percent cover. Using an overhead view, a quantitative estimate of the percentage of the habitat unit covered is made. All cover is then classified according to a list of nine cover types. In Lupton Creek, a standard qualitative shelter value of 0 (none), 1 (low), 2 (medium), or 3 (high) was assigned according to the complexity of the cover. Thus, shelter ratings can range from 0-300 and are expressed as mean values by habitat types within a stream.

7. Substrate Composition:

Substrate composition ranges from silt/clay sized particles to boulders and bedrock elements. In all fully-described habitat units, dominant and sub-dominant substrate elements were ocularly estimated using a list of seven size classes and recorded as a one and two, respectively. In addition, the dominant substrate composing the pool tail-outs is recorded for each pool.

8. Canopy:

Stream canopy density was estimated using modified handheld spherical densimeters as described in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual*. Canopy density relates to the amount of stream shaded from the sun. In Lupton Creek, an estimate of the percentage of the habitat unit covered by canopy was made from the center of approximately every third unit in addition to every fully-described unit, giving an approximate 30% sub-sample. In addition, the area of canopy was estimated ocularly into percentages of coniferous or deciduous trees.

9. Bank Composition and Vegetation:

Bank composition elements range from bedrock to bare soil. However, the stream banks are usually covered with grass, brush, or trees. These factors influence the ability of stream banks to withstand winter flows. In Lupton Creek, the dominant composition type and the dominant vegetation type of both the right and left banks for each fully-described unit were selected from the habitat inventory form. Additionally, the percent of each bank covered by vegetation (including downed trees, logs, and rootwads) was estimated and recorded.

BIOLOGICAL INVENTORY

Biological sampling during the stream inventory is used to determine fish species and their distribution in the stream. Fish presence was observed from the stream banks in Lupton Creek. No biological sampling was conducted on Lupton Creek.

DATA ANALYSIS

Data from the habitat inventory form are entered into Habitat, a dBASE 4.2 data entry program developed by Tim Curtis, Inland Fisheries Division, California Department of Fish and Game. This program processes and summarizes the data, and produces the following six tables:

- Riffle, flatwater, and pool habitat types
- Habitat types and measured parameters
- Pool types
- Maximum pool depths by habitat types
- Dominant substrates by habitat types
- Mean percent shelter by habitat types

Graphics are produced from the tables using Quattro Pro. Graphics developed for Lupton Creek include:

- Riffle, flatwater, pool habitats by percent occurrence
- Riffle, flatwater, pool habitats by total length
- Total habitat types by percent occurrence
- Pool types by percent occurrence
- Total pools by maximum depths
- Embeddedness
- Pool cover by cover type
- Dominant substrate in low gradient riffles
- Mean percent canopy
- Bank composition by composition type
- Bank vegetation by vegetation type

HABITAT INVENTORY RESULTS

* ALL TABLES AND GRAPHS ARE LOCATED AT THE END OF THE REPORT *

The habitat inventory of July 22, 23 and 24, 2001, was conducted by J. Lester and J. Aspittle (DFG). The total length of the stream surveyed was 3,832 feet with an additional 68 feet of side channel.

Stream flow was measured at the bottom of the survey reach with a Marsh-McBirney Model 2000 flowmeter at 0.6 cfs on July 31, 2001.

Lupton Creek is an F2 channel type for the entire stream surveyed. F2 channels are entrenched, meandering, riffle/pool channels on low gradients with high width/depth ratios and boulder-dominant substrates.

Water temperatures taken during the survey period ranged from 59° to 60° Fahrenheit. Air temperatures ranged from 67° to 79° Fahrenheit.

Table 1 summarizes the Level II riffle, flatwater, and pool habitat types. Based on frequency of **occurrence** there were 38% riffle units, 16% flatwater units, and 42% pool units (Graph 1). Based on total **length** of Level II habitat types there were 25% riffle units, 18% flatwater units, and 42% pool units (Graph 2).

Eleven Level IV habitat types were identified (Table 2). The most frequent habitat types by percent **occurrence** were low gradient riffles, 22%; step pools, 18%; and high gradient riffles, 13% (Graph 3). Based on percent total **length**, step pools made up 35%, low gradient riffles 17%, and step runs 16%.

A total of 19 pools were identified (Table 3). Main channel pools were the most frequently encountered, at 68%, and comprised 89% of the total length of all pools (Graph 4).

Table 4 is a summary of maximum pool depths by pool habitat types. Pool quality for salmonids increases with depth. Six of the 19 pools (31%) had a depth of two feet or greater (Graph 5).

The depth of cobble embeddedness was estimated at pool tail-outs. Of the 19 pool tail-outs measured, 3 had a value of 1 (15.8%); 6 had a value of 2 (31.6%); 3 had a value of 3 (15.8%); 6 had a value of 4 (31.6%); and 1 had a value of 5 (5.2%) (Graph 6). On this scale, a value of 1 indicates the highest quality of spawning substrate. The dominant substrate composition for the 1 pool tail-out that had a embeddedness value of 5 was boulder.

A shelter rating was calculated for each habitat unit and expressed as a mean value for each habitat type within the survey using a scale of 0-300. Riffle habitat types had a mean shelter rating of 13, flatwater habitat types had a mean shelter rating of 10, and pool habitats had a mean shelter rating of 28 (Table 1). Of the pool types, the main channel pools had the highest mean shelter rating at 36. Scour pools had a mean shelter rating of 12 (Table 3).

Table 5 summarizes mean percent cover by habitat type. Boulders are the dominant cover types in Lupton Creek. Graph 7 describes the pool cover in Lupton Creek. Boulders are the dominant pool cover type followed by small woody debris.

Table 6 summarizes the dominant substrate by habitat type. Graph 8 depicts the dominant substrate observed in pool tail-outs. Gravel was the dominant substrate observed in 63% of pool tail-outs while boulders were the next most frequently observed substrate type, at 21.4%.

The mean percent canopy density for the surveyed length of Lupton Creek was 96%. The mean percentages of deciduous and coniferous trees were 99% and 1%, respectively. Graph 9 describes the mean percent canopy in Lupton Creek.

For the stream reach surveyed, the mean percent right bank vegetated was 98.9%. The mean percent left bank vegetated was 98.6%. The dominant elements composing the structure of the stream banks consisted of 87.5% sand/silt/clay, 9.38% boulder and 3% bedrock (Graph 10). Deciduous trees were the dominant vegetation type observed in 96.4% of the units surveyed. Additionally, 3.5% of the units surveyed had grass as the dominant vegetation type vegetation (Graph 11).

DISCUSSION

Lupton Creek is a F2 channel type for the entire 3,832 feet of stream surveyed. The suitability of F2 channel types for fish habitat improvement structures is as follows: good for bank-placed boulders and fair for log cover.

The water temperatures recorded on the survey days of July 22-24, 2001, ranged from 59° to 60° Fahrenheit. Air temperatures ranged from 67° to 79° Fahrenheit. This is a good water temperature for juvenile salmonids. To make any further conclusions, temperatures would need to be monitored throughout the warm summer months, and more extensive biological sampling would need to be conducted.

Flatwater habitat types comprised 18% of the total **length** of this survey, riffles 25%, and pools 42%. The pools are relatively shallow, with 6 of the 19 (31.6%) pools having a maximum depth greater than 2 feet. In general, pool enhancement projects are considered when primary pools comprise less than 40% of the length of total stream habitat. In first and second order streams, a primary pool is defined to have a maximum depth of at least two feet, occupy at least half the width of the low flow channel, and be as long as the low flow channel width.

Nine of the 19 pool tail-outs measured had embeddedness ratings of 1 or 2. Nine of the pool tail-outs had embeddedness ratings of 3 or 4. One of the pool tail-outs had a rating of 5, which is considered unsuitable for spawning. It was unsuitable for spawning due to the dominant substrate being boulder. Cobble embeddedness measured to be 25% or less, a rating of 1, is considered to indicate good quality spawning substrate for salmon and steelhead. Sediment sources in Lupton Creek should be mapped and rated according to their potential sediment yields, and control measures should be taken.

Thirteen of the 19 pool tail-outs measured had gravel or small cobble as the dominant substrate. This is generally considered good for spawning salmonids.

The mean shelter rating for pools was 28. The shelter rating in the flatwater habitats was 10. A pool shelter rating of approximately 100 is desirable. The amount of cover that now exists is

being provided primarily by boulders in all habitat types. Additionally, small woody debris contributes a small amount. Log and root wad cover structures in the pool and flatwater habitats would enhance both summer and winter salmonid habitat. Log cover structure provides rearing fry with protection from predation, rest from water velocity, and also divides territorial units to reduce density related competition.

The mean percent canopy density for the stream was 96%. In general, revegetation projects are considered when canopy density is less than 80% or when the canopy composition is dominated by deciduous trees. The percentage of right and left bank covered with vegetation was 98.9% and 98.6%, respectively.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1) Lupton Creek should be managed as an anadromous, natural production stream.
- 2) The limited water temperature data available suggest that maximum temperatures are within the acceptable range for juvenile salmonids. To establish more complete and meaningful temperature regime information, 24-hour monitoring during the July and August temperature extreme period should be performed for 3 to 5 years.
- 3) Increase woody cover in the pools and flatwater habitat units. Most of the existing cover is from boulders. Adding high quality complexity with woody cover is desirable.
- 4) Increase the canopy on Lupton Creek by planting redwood, Douglas fir or other native conifers within the riparian zone. Tributaries to Lupton Creek and reaches above this survey section should be inventoried and treated as well, since the water flowing here is effected from upstream.

COMMENTS AND LANDMARKS

The following landmarks and possible problem sites were noted. All distances are approximate and taken from the beginning of the survey reach.

- | | |
|------|--|
| 0' | Begin survey at confluence with Redwood Creek. Channel type is F2. |
| 112' | Log debris accumulation approximately 21' long x 15' wide x 5' high. |
| 470' | Tributary enters on right bank and was dry at the time of survey. |
| 854' | Log debris accumulation approximately 25' long x 6' high x 30' wide. |
| 911' | Log debris accumulation approximately 11' long x 5.5' high x 25' wide. |

938'	Log debris accumulation approximately 15' long x 6.5' high x 40' wide.
1,004'	Log debris accumulation. 8' long x 4' high x 30' wide.
1,871'	Log debris accumulation. 20' long x 6' high x 32' wide. Some sediment buildup, approximately 2' high.
2,481'	Tributary enters on right bank and was dry at the time of survey.
3,056'	Tributary enters on left bank and was dry at the time of survey.
3,179'	Log debris accumulation approximately 17' long x 8.5' high x 22' wide.
3,900'	End of survey due to 500' long culvert that has 3 turns and changes considerably in slope as it goes under Highway 299. The inlet to the culvert is a concrete arch 15' high x 18' wide with a 2' high plunge.

REFERENCES

Flosi, G., Downie, S., Hopelain, J., Bird, M., Coey, R., and Collins, B. 1998. *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual*, 3rd edition. California Department of Fish and Game, Sacramento, California.

LEVEL III and LEVEL IV HABITAT TYPES

RIFFLE

Low Gradient Riffle	(LGR)	[1.1]	{ 1 }
High Gradient Riffle	(HGR)	[1.2]	{ 2 }

CASCADE

Cascade	(CAS)	[2.1]	{ 3 }
Bedrock Sheet	(BRS)	[2.2]	{ 24 }

FLATWATER

Pocket Water	(POW)	[3.1]	{ 21 }
Glide	(GLD)	[3.2]	{ 14 }
Run	(RUN)	[3.3]	{ 15 }
Step Run	(SRN)	[3.4]	{ 16 }
Edgewater	(EDW)	[3.5]	{ 18 }

MAIN CHANNEL POOLS

Trench Pool	(TRP)	[4.1]	{ 8 }
Mid-Channel Pool	(MCP)	[4.2]	{ 17 }
Channel Confluence Pool	(CCP)	[4.3]	{ 19 }
Step Pool	(STP)	[4.4]	{ 23 }

SCOUR POOLS

Corner Pool	(CRP)	[5.1]	{ 22 }
Lateral Scour Pool - Log Enhanced	(LSL)	[5.2]	{ 10 }
Lateral Scour Pool - Root Wad Enhanced	(LSR)	[5.3]	{ 11 }
Lateral Scour Pool - Bedrock Formed	(LSBk)	[5.4]	{ 12 }
Lateral Scour Pool - Boulder Formed	(LSBo)	[5.5]	{ 20 }
Plunge Pool	(PLP)	[5.6]	{ 9 }

BACKWATER POOLS

Secondary Channel Pool	(SCP)	[6.1]	{ 4 }
Backwater Pool - Boulder Formed	(BPB)	[6.2]	{ 5 }
Backwater Pool - Root Wad Formed	(BPR)	[6.3]	{ 6 }
Backwater Pool - Log Formed	(BPL)	[6.4]	{ 7 }
Dammed Pool	(DPL)	[6.5]	{ 13 }

ADDITIONAL UNIT DESIGNATIONS

Dry	(DRY)	[7.0]	
Culvert	(CUL)	[8.0]	
Not Surveyed	(NS)	[9.0]	
Not Surveyed due to a marsh	(MAR)	[9.1]	