#### STREAM INVENTORY REPORT

#### Mill Creek

### **INTRODUCTION**

A stream inventory was conducted during the summer of 1996 on Mill Creek. The inventory was conducted in two parts: habitat inventory and biological inventory. The objective of the habitat inventory was to document the habitat available to anadromous salmonids in Mill Creek. The objective of the biological inventory was to document the presence and distribution of juvenile salmonid species. There is no known record of adult spawning surveys having been conducted on Mill Creek.

The objective of this report is to document the current habitat conditions, and recommend options for the potential enhancement of habitat for chinook salmon, coho salmon and steelhead trout. Recommendations for habitat improvement activities are based upon target habitat values suitable for salmonids in California's north coast streams.

## **WATERSHED OVERVIEW**

Mill Creek is tributary to the Middle Fork Eel River, tributary to the Mainstem Eel River, located in Mendocino County, CA. Mill Creek's legal description at the confluence with the Middle Fork Eel River is T22N R12W S25. Its location is 39°44'22" N. latitude and 123°08'28" W. longitude. Mill Creek is a third order stream and has approximately 13.7 miles of blue line stream according to the USGS Jamison Ridge, Bluenose Ridge, Covelo East, Covelo West, Dos Rios, and Mina 7.5 minute quadrangles. Mill Creek drains a watershed of approximately 105 square miles. Summer base flow is approximately 0.3 cubic feet per second (cfs) at the mouth, but over 20 cfs is not unusual during winter storms. Elevations range from about 1,230 feet at the mouth of the creek to 3,600 feet in the headwater areas. Mixed conifer forest dominates the watershed. The watershed is entirely privately owned and is managed for timber production, rangeland, and rural residence. Vehicle access exists via Hill Road, in the town of Covelo. Park at the Hill Road bridge and walk downstream approximately two miles to the mouth of Mill Creek.

### **METHODS**

The habitat inventory conducted in Mill Creek follows the methodology presented in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual* (Flosi and Reynolds, 1991 rev. 1994). The Watershed Stewards Project/AmeriCorps (WSP/AmeriCorps) members that conducted the inventory were trained in standardized habitat inventory methods by the California Department of Fish and Game (DFG). Mill Creek personnel were trained in May, 1996, by Scott Downie and Ruth Goodfield. This inventory was conducted by a two-person team.

### **SAMPLING STRATEGY**

The inventory uses a method that samples approximately 10% of the habitat units within the survey reach (Hopelain, 1994). All habitat units included in the survey are classified according to habitat type and their lengths are measured. All pool units are measured for maximum depth. Habitat unit types encountered for the first time are further measured for all the parameters and characteristics on the field form. Additionally, from the ten habitat units on each field form page, one is randomly selected for complete measurement.

### HABITAT INVENTORY COMPONENTS

A standardized habitat inventory form has been developed for use in California stream surveys and can be found in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual*. This form was used in Mill Creek to record measurements and observations. There are nine components to the inventory form.

#### 1. Flow:

Flow is measured in cubic feet per second (cfs) at the bottom of the stream survey reach using standard flow measuring equipment, if available. In some cases flows are estimated.

# 2. Channel Type:

Channel typing is conducted according to the classification system developed and revised by David Rosgen (1985 rev. 1994). This methodology is described in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual*. Channel typing is conducted simultaneously with habitat typing and follows a standard form to record measurements and observations. There are five measured parameters used to determine channel type: 1) water slope gradient, 2) entrenchment, 3) width/depth ratio, 4) substrate composition, and 5) sinuosity.

### 3. Temperatures:

Both water and air temperatures are measured and recorded at every tenth habitat unit. The time of the measurement is also recorded. Both temperatures are taken in degrees Fahrenheit at the middle of the habitat unit and within one foot of the water surface.

# 4. Habitat Type:

Habitat typing uses the 24 habitat classification types defined by McCain and others (1988). Habitat units are numbered sequentially and assigned a type identification number selected from a standard list of 24 habitat types. Dewatered units are labeled "dry". Mill Creek habitat typing used standard basin level measurement criteria. These parameters require that the minimum length of a described habitat unit must be equal to or greater than the stream's mean wetted width. Channel dimensions were measured using hip chains, range finders, tape measures, and

stadia rods. All units were measured for mean length; additionally, the first occurrence of each unit type and a randomly selected 10% subset of all units were sampled for all features on the sampling form (Hopelain, 1995). Pool tail crest depth at each pool unit was measured in the thalweg. All measurements were in feet to the nearest tenth.

#### 5. Embeddedness:

The depth of embeddedness of the cobbles in pool tail-out reaches is measured by the percent of the cobble that is surrounded or buried by fine sediment. In Mill Creek, embeddedness was ocularly estimated. The values were recorded using the following ranges: 0 - 25% (value 1), 26 - 50% (value 2), 51 - 75% (value 3), 76 - 100% (value 4). Additionally, a rating of "not suitable" (value 5) was assigned to tail-outs deemed unsuited for spawning due to inappropriate substrate particle size, having a bedrock tail-out, or other considerations.

# 6. Shelter Rating:

Instream shelter is composed of those elements within a stream channel that provide salmonids protection from predation, reduce water velocities so fish can rest and conserve energy, and allow separation of territorial units to reduce density related competition. The shelter rating is calculated for each fully-described habitat unit by multiplying shelter value and percent cover. Using an overhead view, a quantitative estimate of the percentage of the habitat unit covered is made. All cover is then classified according to a list of nine cover types. In Mill Creek, a standard qualitative shelter value of 0 (none), 1 (low), 2 (medium), or 3 (high) was assigned according to the complexity of the cover. Thus, shelter ratings can range from 0-300 and are expressed as mean values by habitat types within a stream.

### 7. Substrate Composition:

Substrate composition ranges from silt/clay sized particles to boulders and bedrock elements. In all fully-described habitat units, dominant and sub-dominant substrate elements were ocularly estimated using a list of seven size classes and recorded as a one and two respectively.

# 8. Canopy:

Stream canopy density was estimated using modified handheld spherical densiometers as described in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual*, 1994. Canopy density relates to the amount of stream shaded from the sun. In Mill Creek, an estimate of the percentage of the habitat unit covered by canopy was made from the center of approximately every third unit in addition to every fully-described unit, giving an approximate 30% sub-sample. In addition, the area of canopy was estimated ocularly into percentages of coniferous or deciduous trees.

### 9. Bank Composition and Vegetation:

Bank composition elements range from bedrock to bare soil. However, the stream banks are usually covered with grass, brush, or trees. These factors influence the ability of stream banks to

withstand winter flows. In Mill Creek, the dominant composition type (options 1-4) and the dominant vegetation type (options 5-9) of both the right and left banks for each fully-described unit were selected from the habitat inventory form. Additionally, the percent of each bank covered by vegetation was estimated and recorded.

### **BIOLOGICAL INVENTORY**

Biological sampling during stream inventory is used to determine fish species and their distribution in the stream. In Mill Creek fish presence was observed from the stream banks, and one site was electrofished using one Smith-Root Model 12 electrofisher. These sampling techniques are discussed in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual*.

### **SUBSTRATE SAMPLING**

Gravel sampling is conducted using a 9 inch diameter standard McNeil gravel sampler. Sample sites are identified numerically beginning at the most upstream site in the stream. Gravel samples are separated and measured to determine respective percent volume using five sieve sizes (25.4, 12.5, 4.7, 2.37, and 0.85 mm)(Valentine, 1995).

### **DATA ANALYSIS**

Data from the habitat inventory form are entered into *Habitat*, a DBASE 4.2 data entry program developed by Tim Curtis, Inland Fisheries Division, DFG. This program processes and summarizes the data, and produces the following six tables:

- Riffle, flatwater, and pool habitat types
- Habitat types and measured parameters
- Pool types
- Maximum pool depths by habitat types
- Dominant substrates by habitat types
- Mean percent shelter by habitat types

Graphics are produced from the tables using Lotus 1,2,3. Graphics developed for Mill Creek include:

- Riffle, flatwater, pool habitats by percent occurrence
- Riffle, flatwater, pool habitats by total length
- Total habitat types by percent occurrence
- Pool types by percent occurrence
- Total pools by maximum depths
- Embeddedness
- Pool cover by cover type

- Dominant substrate in low gradient riffles
- Percent canopy
- Bank composition by composition type
- Bank vegetation by vegetation type

### **HABITAT INVENTORY RESULTS**

### \* ALL TABLES AND GRAPHS ARE LOCATED AT THE END OF THE REPORT \*

The habitat inventory of August 29, 1996, was conducted by Dale Melton and Paul Ouradnik (WSP/AmeriCorps). The total length of the stream surveyed was 6,902 feet with no additional feet of side channel.

Flow was measured at the bottom of the survey reach with a Marsh-McBirney Model 2000 flowmeter at 0.3 cfs on August 20, 1996.

Mill Creek is an F4 channel type for the entire 6,902 feet of stream reach surveyed. F4 channels are entrenched, meandering, riffle/pool channels on low gradients with high width/depth ratios and gravel-dominant substrates.

Water temperatures taken during the survey period ranged from  $76^{\circ}$  to  $86^{\circ}$  F. Air temperatures ranged from  $78^{\circ}$  to  $90^{\circ}$  F.

Table 1 summarizes the Level II riffle, flatwater, and pool habitat types. Based on frequency of **occurrence** there were 39% dry units, 37% pool units, and 24% flatwater units (Graph 1). Based on total **length** of Level II habitat types there were 50% dry units, 26% pool units, and 24% flatwater units (Graph 2).

Six Level IV habitat types were identified (Table 2). The most frequent habitat types by percent **occurrence** were dry, 39%; mid-channel pools, 36%; and runs, 17% (Graph 3). Based on percent total **length**, dry units made up 50%, mid-channel pools 25%, runs 14%.

A total of thirty-three pools were identified (Table 3). Main channel pools were most frequently encountered at 97% and comprised 99% of the total length of all pools (Graph 4).

Table 4 is a summary of maximum pool depths by pool habitat types. Pool quality for salmonids increases with depth. Fourteen of the 33 pools (42%) had a depth of two feet or greater (Graph 5).

The depth of cobble embeddedness was estimated at pool tail-outs. Of the 33 pool tail-outs measured, one had a value of 1 (3%); one had a value of 2 (3%); three had a value of 3 (9%); one had a value of 4 (3%); and 27 had a value of 5 (82%) (Graph 6). On this scale, a value of 1 indicates the highest quality of spawning substrate.

A shelter rating was calculated for each habitat unit and expressed as a mean value for each habitat type within the survey using a scale of 0-300. Pool habitat types had a mean shelter rating of 55, and flatwater habitats had a mean shelter rating of 16 (Table 1). Of the pool types, the main channel pools had the highest mean shelter rating at 90. Scour pools had a mean shelter rating of 20 (Table 3).

Table 5 summarizes mean percent cover by habitat type. Boulders are the dominant cover type in Mill Creek and are extensive. Large and small woody debris are lacking in nearly all habitat types. Graph 7 describes the pool cover in Mill Creek.

Table 6 summarizes the dominant substrate by habitat type. Gravel was the dominant substrate observed in all of the low gradient riffles measured (100%).

The mean percent canopy density for the stream reach surveyed was 1%. The mean percentages of deciduous and coniferous trees were 88% and 13%, respectively (Graph 9).

For the stream reach surveyed, the mean percent right bank vegetated was 58%. The mean percent left bank vegetated was 51%. The dominant elements composing the structure of the stream banks consisted of 28.6% bedrock, 64.3% boulder, 0% cobble/gravel, and 7.1% sand/silt/clay (Graph 10). Grass was the dominant vegetation type observed in 29% of the units surveyed. Additionally, 42.9% of the units surveyed had deciduous trees as the dominant vegetation type, including down trees, logs, and root wads (Graph 11).

### **BIOLOGICAL INVENTORY RESULTS**

One site was electrofished on August 20, 1996, in Mill Creek. The site was sampled by Ruth Goodfield (DFG), Dale Melton, and Paul Ouradnik(WSP/AmeriCorps).

The site sampled was above the survey reach, a pool/run sequence approximately 35,376' from the confluence with the Middle Fork Eel River. This site had an area of 500 sq ft and a volume of 360 cu ft. The site yielded seven young-of-the-year (YOY) steelhead rainbow trout, one 1-year+ steelhead rainbow trout, one stickleback, and over 50 California roach.

### **GRAVEL SAMPLING RESULTS**

No gravel samples were taken on Mill Creek.

# **DISCUSSION**

Mill Creek is an F4 channel type for the entire 6,902 feet of stream surveyed. The suitability of F4 channel types for fish habitat improvement structures is described as good for bank-placed boulders; fair for low-stage weirs, single and opposing wing-deflectors, channel constrictors, and

log cover; and poor for medium-stage weirs and boulder clusters.

The water temperatures recorded on the survey day August 29, 1996, ranged from 76 to 86 degrees Fahrenheit. Air temperatures ranged from 78 to 90 degrees Fahrenheit. This is a very warm water temperature range for salmonids. Temperatures above 75° F, if sustained, are at the threshold stress level for salmonids. This seems to be the case here, and Mill Creek seems to have temperatures unfavorable to salmonids. To make any further conclusions, temperatures would need to be monitored throughout the warm summer months, and more extensive biological sampling would need to be conducted.

Flatwater habitat types comprised 24% of the total **length** of this survey, dry units 50%, and pools 26%. The pools are relatively shallow, with only five of the 33 (15%) pools having a maximum depth greater than 3 feet. In general, pool enhancement projects are considered when primary pools comprise less than 40% of the length of total stream habitat. In third and fourth order streams, a primary pool is defined to have a maximum depth of at least three feet, occupy at least half the width of the low flow channel, and be as long as the low flow channel width. Installing structures that will increase or deepen pool habitat is recommended for locations where their installation will not be threatened by high stream energy, or where their installation will not conflict with the modification of the numerous log debris accumulations (LDA's) in the stream.

Four of the 33 pool tail-outs measured had embeddedness ratings of 3 or 4. Only one had a 1 rating. Cobble embeddedness measured to be 25% or less, a rating of 1, is considered to indicate good quality spawning substrate for salmonids. The sediment sources should be mapped and rated according to their potential sediment yields, and control measures taken.

The mean shelter rating for pools was low with a rating of 55. The shelter rating in the flatwater habitats was still lower at 16. A pool shelter rating of approximately 100 is desirable. The relatively small amount of cover that now exists is being provided primarily by boulders in all habitat types. Additionally, white water contributes a small amount. Log and root wad cover structures in the pool and flatwater habitats are needed to improve both summer and winter salmonid habitat. Log cover structure provides rearing fry with protection from predation, rest from water velocity, and also divides territorial units to reduce density related competition.

All of the low gradient riffles measured had gravel or small cobble as the dominant substrate. This is generally considered good for spawning salmonids.

The mean percent canopy density surveyed was 1%. This is a low percentage of canopy. In general, re-vegetation projects are considered when canopy density is less than 80%.

The percentage of right and left bank covered with vegetation was moderate at 58% and 51%, respectively. In areas of stream bank erosion or where bank vegetation is not at acceptable levels, planting endemic species of coniferous and deciduous trees, in conjunction with bank stabilization, is recommended.

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1) Mill Creek should be managed as an anadromous, natural production stream.
- 2) The limited water temperature data available suggest that maximum temperatures are above the acceptable range for juvenile salmonids. To establish more complete and meaningful temperature regime information, 24-hour monitoring during the July and August temperature extreme period should be performed for 3 to 5 years.
- Increase the canopy on Mill Creek by planting willow, alder, and Douglas fir along the stream where shade canopy is not at acceptable levels. The reaches above this survey section should be inventoried and treated as well, since the water flowing here is effected from upstream. In many cases, planting will need to be coordinated to follow bank stabilization or upslope erosion control projects.
- 4) Increase woody cover in the pools and flatwater habitat units. Most of the existing cover is from boulders. Adding high quality complexity with woody cover is desirable and in some areas the material is locally available.
- 5) Active and potential sediment sources related to the road system need to be identified, mapped, and treated according to their potential for sediment yieldto the stream.
- 6) Where feasible, design and engineer pool enhancement structures to increase the number of pools. This must be done where the banks are stable or in conjunction with stream bank armor to prevent erosion.

### PROBLEM SITES AND LANDMARKS

The following landmarks and possible problem sites were noted. All distances are approximate and taken from the beginning of the survey reach.

- 0' Begin survey at confluence with Middle Fork Eel River. Channel type is an F4 for the entire 6902' of stream surveyed.
- 202' California roach observed from the streambanks.
- 1690' Road fords stream.
- 1857' One + steelhead rainbow trout observed from the streambanks by surveyors.
- 2656' Road fords stream.
- 3102' Cyprinidae observed from the streambanks.

6902' Surveyors observed little fish habitat in the last 2000'. Water is too hot for salmonids. **End of survey.** 

35376' Bioinventory site, August 20, 1996.

### **References**

Flosi, G., and F. Reynolds. 1994. California salmonid stream habitat restoration manual, 2nd edition. California Department of Fish and Game, Sacramento, California.

Hopelain, J. 1995. Sampling levels for fish habitat inventory, unpublished manuscript. California Department of Fish and Game, Inland Fisheries Division, Sacramento, California.

Valentine, B. 1995. Stream substrate quality for salmonids: guidelines for sampling, processing, and analysis, unpublished manuscript. California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection, Santa Rosa, California.

# LEVEL III and LEVEL IV HABITAT TYPE KEY

HABITAT TYPE	LETTER	NUMBER
RIFFLE		
Low Gradient Riffle High Gradient Riffle	[LGR] [HGR]	1.1 1.2
CASCADE		
Cascade Bedrock Sheet	[CAS] [BRS]	2.1 2.2
FLATWATER		
Pocket Water Glide Run Step Run Edgewater	[POW] [GLD] [RUN] [SRN] [EDW]	3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5
MAIN CHANNEL POOLS		
Trench Pool Mid-Channel Pool Channel Confluence Pool Step Pool	[TRP] [MCP] [CCP] [STP]	4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4
SCOUR POOLS		
Corner Pool Lateral Scour Pool - Log Enhanced Lateral Scour Pool - Root Wad Enhanced Lateral Scour Pool - Bedrock Formed Lateral Scour Pool - Boulder Formed Plunge Pool	[CRP] [LSL] [LSR] [LSBk] [LSBo] [PLP]	5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6
BACKWATER POOLS		
Secondary Channel Pool Backwater Pool - Boulder Formed Backwater Pool - Root Wad Formed Backwater Pool - Log Formed Dammed Pool	[SCP] [BPB] [BPR] [BPL] [DPL]	6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 6.5