

# VC - VEGETATION CONTROL & REMOVAL

# POST-TREATMENT

Grant #:

Project title:

Date :

Evaluator:

Site ID:

page \_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_

		Project Feature Number			
		Feature Type Code			
Metrics	1. Location monitored: BFC, FLD, LBK, RBK, UPL, OTH				
	2. Amount of treated area monitored: (ft <sup>2</sup> )				
	3. Length of treated riparian streambank monitored: (ft)				
	4. Length of treated channel monitored: (ft)				
Vegetation Type & Cover	5. If an objective, was the treatment sufficient to "release" targeted vegetation?				
	6. If an objective, was vegetation controlled by livestock grazing?*				
	<i>a. Did livestock grazing damage targeted or planted vegetation?*</i>				
	7. Was relative abundance of native vegetation increased by the treatment?				
	8. If an objective, was dominant species composition changed?				
	<i>a. Dominant native vegetation species: (list 1 to 4 species codes)</i>				
	<i>b. Dominant invasive vegetation species: (list 1 to 4 species codes)</i>				
	<i>c. Most dominant species in the treatment area: (list 1 species code)</i>				
	<i>d. Was the targeted dominant vegetation species achieved?</i>				
	9. If an objective, was native vegetation cover increased by the treatment?				
	<i>a. Percent cover by native vegetation: (%)</i>				
	10. If an objective, was non-native vegetation cover decreased by the treatment?				
	<i>a. Percent cover by non-native vegetation: (%)</i>				
	11. If an objective, was the dominant vegetation type changed?				
<i>a. Dominant vegetation type: GRA, HRB, SHR, TRE, NON, OTH</i>					
<i>b. Was the targeted vegetation type achieved?</i>					
Banks	12. Is there bank erosion or instability in the vicinity of the treatment area?				
	<i>a. Locations: UPS, DNS, WIN and LBK, RBK</i>				
	<i>b. Apparent cause: BAR, CNR, EMG, GRZ, HYD, UND, USG, OTH</i>				
	13. If an objective, was streambank instability and/or bank erosion reduced?				
	14. Were there any unintended effects on the streambanks? If Y, comment.				
LWD	15. Large woody debris count in treatment area (D >1', L 6-20' / D >1', L >20'):	/	/	/	/
	16. If an objective, was large woody debris recruitment potential increased?				
Channel	17. Current stream channel problems: AGG, BRD, FLO, GRC, HDC, INC, NAR, SCU, STT, WID, NON, OTH				
	18. If an objective, did the treatment lead to the targeted channel conditions?				
	<i>a. Conditions: AGG, FPD, GRC, INC, NAR, SIN, STB, TOG, WID, OTH</i>				
	19. Were there any unintended effects on the stream channel? If Y, comment.				
	20. Was improving instream habitat an objective? If Y, use IN.				
Rating	21. <b>Feature Effectiveness Rating: Excl, Good, Fair, Poor, Fail</b>				
	22. Does this feature need: DEC, ENH, MNT, REP, NON, OTH				
	23. Are additional restoration treatments recommended at this location?				
Comments					