

VC - VEGETATION CONTROL & REMOVAL

IMPLEMENTATION

Grant #:

Project title:

Date :

Evaluator:

Site ID:

page ____ of ____

Project Feature Number				
Feature Type Code				
Metrics	1. Was vegetation treated in the approved location?			
	a. Location of treatment: <i>BFC, FLD, LBK, RBK, UPL, OTH</i>			
	2. Was the approved amount of vegetation treated?			
	a. Total amount of area treated at this feature: (ft ²)			
	b. Length of riparian streambank treated, if applicable: (ft)			
	3. Was vegetation treated from within the bankfull channel as approved?			
	a. Length of channel treated: (ft)			
Vegetation Treated	b. Length of aquatic habitat disturbed at the feature: (ft)			
	4. Was vegetation control in conjunction with planting? If Y, use RT also.			
	5. Was vegetation treated to "release" targeted vegetation as approved?			
	6. Was vegetation treated by controlled livestock grazing? If Y, use LU also.			
	7. Were native species treated as approved?			
	a. Amount of riparian area treated (excluding invasive species treatments): (ft ²)			
	b. Native species treated: (list 1 to 4 species codes)			
	8. Were non-native invasive species treated as approved?			
	a. Amount of riparian area treated for invasive species: (ft ²)			
	b. Invasive species treated: (list 1 to 4 species codes)			
Methods	9. Is total eradication of invasive species expected from this treatment?			
	a. If not, are return removal visits agreed upon?			
	b. Number of years feature will be monitored and maintained: (yrs)			
	10. Did the treatment occur using the specified methods?			
Rating	a. Methods: <i>BRN, CGR, CSP, HAN, MAC, OTH</i>			
	11. Were cuttings/slash treated or disposed of as approved?			
	12. Were erosion control methods used as approved?			
Comments	a. Methods: <i>FAB, NTM, PLN, ROC, SEE, SLF, STM, OTH</i>			
	13. Does the feature meet design, contract & permit specifications?			
	a. If not, were modifications beneficial to performance?			
	b. Is non-compliance significant enough to jeopardize performance?			
	c. Are corrections needed?			
Comments	14. Would a different treatment or design have been preferable? If Y, comment.			
	15. Feature Implementation Rating: Excl, Good, Fair, Poor, Fail			
	Feature #:	Feature #:	Feature #:	