US - UPSLOPE STABILIZATION & DELIVERY PREVENTION

PRE-TREATMENT

Grant #: Project title:

Date	e: Evaluator: Site ID:	page of
	Project F	Feature Number
	Feat	ture Type Code
Location/Metrics	1. Location to be treated: BFC, FLD, LBK, RBK, UPL, OTH	
	2. Is directly treating the unstable/eroding slope an objective?	
	a. Amount of upland area to be treated: (ft²)	
	3. Is treating the stream channel to stabilize the slope an objective	re?
	a. Length of stream channel to be treated: (ft)	
Γ 0	4. Is treating the streambank to stabilize the slope an objective?	
	a. Length of streambank to be treated or stabilized: (ft)	
	5. Has there been sediment delivery from the treatment area in th	ne last 10 yrs?
	a. Sediment sources: SFE, FLS, LAN, CUT, SBL, NRL, EFL, S RRG, NRG, SBE, OTH	SCW, DIV,
	b. Apparent cause: BAR, CNR, EMG, FLO, GRZ, HYD, NBA, OVS, RDS, UND, USG, OTH	NCA, OVF,
ry	c. Estimate total past delivery (cy/10 yr):	
live	6. Is there potential for sediment delivery from the treatment area	a in the next 10
Sediment Delivery	years?	
není	a. Erosion potential: LOW, MOD/LOW, MOD, MOD/HIG, or	
edin	b. Minimum future delivery volume or "sediment savings": (cy.	
Š	7. Is decreasing potential for future sediment delivery an objective	ve?
	8. Is decreasing the potential delivery volume an objective?	
	9. Is reducing sediment delivery with a catchment basin an objec	
	10. Is controlling erosion or stabilizing the slope with vegetation a	
	11. Is improving slope stability with road improvements an object	
	12. Is preventing sediment delivery by dewatering the slope an object.	·
	Feature #: Feature #:	Feature #:
ents		
Comments		
C_{0}		

^{*} If planted, use RT also. **If Y, use appropriate road related checklists. Y=Yes, N=No, P=Partially, D=Don't know, A=Not Applicable. CRMEP 03/31/07 Draft