

RT - REVEGETATION TREATMENTS

POST-TREATMENT

Grant #:

Project title:

Date :

Evaluator:

Site ID:

page ____ of ____

		Project Feature Number			
		Feature Type Code			
Metrics	1. Length of treated streambank monitored: (ft)				
	2. Amount of treated area monitored: (ft ²)				
	3. Location monitored: FLD, LBK, RBK, UPL, OTH				
Vegetation Type & Cover	4. Percent survival of planted vegetation: (%)				
	a. Was the targeted minimum survival achieved?				
	5. Is growth and vigor of planted vegetation satisfactory?				
	a. Rate growth & vigor of planted vegetation: Excl, Good, Fair, Poor, Fail				
	6. Was irrigation conducted as agreed after the closeout of the contract?				
	7. Current dominant vegetation type: GRA, HRB, SHR, TRE, NON, OTH				
	a. Dominant vegetation type is composed of: NTS, NNS				
	8. If an objective, did reveg. lead to the targeted dominant vegetation type?				
	9. Dominant non-grass species in the treatment area: (list 1 to 4 species codes)				
	10. If an objective, was species composition changed by the revegetation?				
	a. Was the targeted dominant species achieved?				
	11. Current total vegetation cover within the treatment area: (%)				
	12. If an objective, did the revegetation increase vegetation cover?				
	a. Was the targeted percent cover achieved?				
	13. If an objective, did revegetation reduce the size of gaps in bank vegetation?				
	a. Length of largest gap in bank vegetation >3 ft tall: (ft)				
	14. Current canopy cover over the stream channel: (%)				
	15. If an objective, was percent canopy cover increased?				
a. Targeted percent canopy cover in the treatment area: (ft)					
Banks	16. Is there bank erosion or instability in the vicinity of the treatment area?				
	a. Locations: UPS, DNS, WIN and LBK, RBK				
	b. Apparent cause: BAR, CNR, EMG, GRZ, HYD, UND, USG, OTH				
	17. If an objective, was streambank instability and/or bank erosion reduced?				
	18. Were there any unintended effects on the streambanks? If Y, comment.				
LWD	19. Large woody debris count in treatment area (D >1', L 6-20' / D >1', L >20'):	/	/	/	/
	20. If an objective, was large woody debris recruitment potential increased?				
Channel	21. Current stream channel problems: AGG, BRD, FLO, GRC, HDC, INC, NAR, SCU, STT, WID, NON, OTH				
	22. If an objective, did revegetation lead to the targeted channel conditions?				
	a. Conditions: AGG, FPD, GRC, INC, NAR, SIN, STB, TOG, WID, OTH				
	24. Were there any unintended effects on the stream channel? If Y, comment.				
Rating	24. Feature Effectiveness Rating: Excl, Good, Fair, Poor, Fail				
	25. Does this feature need: DEC, ENH, MNT, REP, NON, OTH				
	26. Are additional restoration treatments recommended at this location?				
Comment					