

# LU - LAND USE & EXCLUSION FENCING

# POST-TREATMENT

Grant #:

Project title:

Date :

Evaluator:

Site ID:

page \_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_

Project Feature Number				
Feature Type Code				
Land Use	1. If an objective, has pre-existing land use changed?			
	<i>a. Agreement type in place: ACQ, EAS, LEA, RMP, OTH</i>			
	<i>b. Restrictions being followed: BLD, FRM, GRZ, RDS, TMB, WTR, OTH</i>			
	2. If fencing was installed, is it excluding livestock as intended?			
	<i>a. Fence condition: Excl, Good, Fair, Poor, Fail</i>			
	3. Has grazing within the fencing occurred?			
	<i>a. Has grazing been done in accordance with an RMP agreement?</i>			
	<i>b. If applicable, has any planted or targeted vegetation been grazed?</i>			
	4. Does the fence height comply with CDFG guidelines?			
	5. Does the fence material spacing comply with CDFG guidelines?			
Metrics	6. If fencing crosses the creek, does it allow for safe fish passage?			
	7. Are stock watering stations providing an alternative water source to instream watering?			
	8. Current length of streambank protected: (ft)			
	<i>a. If applicable, are both streambanks protected?</i>			
	9. Current length of stream channel protected: (ft)			
Checklist	10. Current area protected: (ft <sup>2</sup> )			
	<i>a. If applicable, is land on both streambanks protected?</i>			
	11. Is restoring instream habitat and/or banks an objective? If Y, use CB also.			
	12. Is restoring riparian vegetation an objective? If Y, use RT also.			
Rating	13. Is controlling vegetation with grazing an objective? If Y, use VC also.			
	14. Is reducing upslope sediment delivery an objective? If Y, use US also.			
	15. <b>Feature Effectiveness Rating: Excl, Good, Fair, Poor, Fail</b>			
	16. Does this feature need: DEC, ENH, MNT, REP, NON, OTH			
	17. Are additional restoration treatments recommended at this location?			
Comments	Feature #:	Feature #:	Feature #:	