

FS - FISH SCREENING of DIVERSIONS

PRE-TREATMENT

Grant #:

Project title:

Date :

Evaluator:

Site ID:

page ___ of ___

Project Feature Number		Comments
Feature Type Code		
Fish Access	1. Do adult fish of the targeted species have access into the diversion? <i>a. Targeted fish species: COHO, CHIN, CT, SH, etc.</i>	
	2. Is eliminating adult fish access into a diversion an objective of the feature?	
	3. Do juvenile fish of the targeted species have access into the diversion? <i>a. Targeted fish species: COHO, CHIN, CT, SH, etc.</i>	
	4. Is eliminating juvenile fish access into a diversion an objective of the feature?	
	5. Is preventing fish from being pinned against the screen an objective?	
Dvrsn.	6. Is diversion flow regulated by a head gate and streamflow gauge?	
	7. Is regulating diversion flow with a head gate and flow gauge an objective?	
Fish screen	8. Is having a fish screen that meets all DFG fish screen criteria an objective?	
	9. Is there an existing fish screen for the diversion? <i>a. Does the fish screen meet current DFG screen criteria?</i>	
	<i>b. Problems: ALN, ANC, BBB, COR, MAT, MEC, PLG, UND, UNS, NON, OTH</i>	
	<i>c. Structural condition: Excl, Good, Fair, Poor, Fail</i>	
Placement	10. Is the existing screen located in a diversion canal? <i>a. Is reducing the distance between the stream and screen an objective?</i>	
	<i>b. Distance along diversion canal from stream to screen: (ft)</i>	
Bypass	11. Does the diversion have a bypass canal? <i>a. Does the existing bypass provide adequate escape for fish?</i>	
	<i>b. Does the bypass appear to be easy to locate and enter for fish?</i>	
	<i>c. Does the bypass appear to be free of safety hazards to fish?</i>	
	<i>d. Does the bypass appear to be adequately sized to pass debris?</i>	
	12. Is improving the bypass for fish an objective?	
	13. Is decreasing the length of the bypass an objective? <i>a. Distance along bypass canal from bypass inlet to stream: (ft)</i>	
Channel	14. Is eliminating the need for a push-up or other seasonal dam an objective?	
	15. Will a "fish friendly" weir replace a seasonal dam? If Y, use FB.	
	16. Stream channel problems in the vicinity of the diversion: AGG, BRD, FLO, GRC, HDC, INC, NAR, SCU, STT, WID, NON, OTH	
	17. Is improving channel conditions an objective of the fish screen feature? <i>a. Targeted: AGG, FPD, GRC, INC, NAR, SIN, STB, TOG, WID, OTH</i>	
Banks	18. Is there streambank erosion or instability in the vicinity of the diversion/screen? <i>a. Locations: UPS, DNS, WIN and LBK, RBK</i>	
	<i>b. Apparent causes: BAR, CNR, EMG, GRZ, HYD, UND, USG, OTH</i>	
	19. Is stabilizing the streambank and/or reducing bank erosion an objective?	
Comments		