RU - ROAD SEGMENT UPGRADING

POST-TREATMENT

Gra	nt #: Project title:	
Dat	e: Evaluator: Site ID:	page of
	Project Feature Number	Comments
	Feature Type Code	
stric	1. Length of treated road monitored: (ft)	
Me	2. Road segment physical condition: Excl, Good, Fair, Poor, Fail	
	3. If an objective, were existing gullies and active or potential landslides dewatered?*	
	4. If an objective, were fill slopes, landings and side cast excavated?*	
	5. Does road/spring drainage disperse into the correct channel or watershed?	
	6. If an objective, was road/spring drainage returned to the correct channel or watershed?	
e Drainage	7. If an objective, was fine grain sediment delivery reduced by reducing bare soil area?	
	8. If an objective, was fine sediment delivery minimized by seasonally closing the road?	
	9. Road surfaces: DRT, ROC, PAV, OTH	
	10. If an objective, was road surface erosion rate reduced by resurfacing the road?	
	11. Road surface shapes: CRN, FLT, INS, OUT, TCU, OTH	
	12. If an objective, was road runoff dispersed by changing the road surface shape?	
	13. If an objective, was road drainage improved by cleaning or adding ditches?	
	a. Have ditches become clogged or been damaged since implementation?	
fac	14. If an objective, was drainage function restored by removing or breaching berms?	
Road Sur	a. Have berms that interfere with drainage developed since implementation?	
	15. Estimate post-treatment percent connectivity: (%)	
	16. If an objective, was percent connectivity decreased?	
	a. Did the upgrade achieve the targeted percent connectivity?	
	17. If an objective, was connectivity reduced by adding or upgrading drainage structures?	
	18. Road drainage structures: DIT, DRC, RLD, WTB, NON, OTH	
	a. Have gullies or instability occurred at outlets since implementation?	
	b. Are structures frequent enough to prevent erosion from concentrated runoff?	
	c. Do all structures drain so that sediment is not delivered to a stream?	
	d. Do rolling dips drain the road surface without affecting road use?	
	e. Problems: ALN, APP, COR, CRS, NTG, OVT, PLG, UNS, WSH, OTH	
	f. Have problems with the drainage structures developed since implementation?	
	19. Has there been sediment delivery from the road segment since implementation?	
ry	a. Sediment sources: SFE, FLS, LAN, CUT, NRL, EFL, DIV, RRG, NRG, OTH	
Sediment Delive	b. Estimate total delivery since implementation: (cy)	
	20. Is there potential for sediment delivery from the road in the next 10 years?	
	a. Erosion potential: LOW, MOD/LOW, MOD, MOD/HIG, or HIG	
	<i>b. Estimate future delivery</i> (<i>cy/10 yr</i>):	
	21. If an objective, was potential for future sediment delivery reduced?	
	22. Have spoils delivered sediment to streams?	
	a. Estimated delivery from spous since implementation (cy):	
Rating	23. Feature Effectiveness Kating: Excl, Good, Fair, Poor, Fail	
	24. Does uns realure need: DEC, ENH, WIN1, KEP, NON, OTH 25. Are additional restoration treatments recommended at this location?	