RU - ROAD SEGMENT UPGRADING   IMPLEMENTATION

Grant #:   **Project title:**

Date : **Evaluator:** **Site ID:**		page ___ of ___

		Project Feature Number		**Comments**
		Feature Type Code		
Metrics	1. Length of road segment (site) upgraded: (ft)			
	a. Length of road actually upgraded, if different: (ft)			
	2. Number of stream crossings upgraded along segment: (#)			
	3. Road segment physical condition: Excl, Good, Fair, Poor, Fail			
Road Surface Drainage	4. Were existing gullies & active or potential landslides dewatered as approved?*			
	5. Were fill slopes, landings and side cast excavated as approved?*			
	6. Have approved erosion control measures been applied to bare areas?			
	a. Methods: ARM, BNC, COM, NTM, PLN**, SEE, SLF, STM, OTH			
	7. Was the road surfaced as approved?			
	a. Road surfaces: DRT, PAV, ROC, OTH			
	8. Was the road surface shaped as approved?			
	a. Road surface shapes: CRN, FLT, INS, OUT, TCU, OTH			
	9. Were ditches cleaned or added as approved?			
	10. Were berms removed or breached as approved?			
	11. Was road drainage disconnected from streams as approved?			
	12. Were drainage structures installed as approved?			
	a. Components installed or upgraded: DRC, RLD, NON, OTH			
	b. Were drainage components installed at the approved interval?			
	c. Do all structures drain onto stable and erosion resistant hill slopes?			
	d. Were drainage features installed in the approved locations?			
	e. Are rolling dips drivable and not inhibiting traffic and road use?			
	e. Problems: ALN, APP, CRS, INL, LNG, NTG, OTL, UNS, NON, OTH			
	g. Do all ditch relief culverts drain properly, at the base of the fill?			
Spoils	13. Were spoils placed where they cannot deliver sediment, as approved?			
	a. Spoils volume estimate: (cy)			
Implementation	14. Does the feature meet design, contract & permit specifications?			
	a. If not, were modifications beneficial to performance?			
	b. Is non-compliance significant enough to jeopardize performance?			
	c. Are corrections needed?			
	15. Would a different treatment or design have been preferable? If Y, comment.			
	16. Feature Implementation Rating: Excl, Good, Fair, Poor, Fail			
Comments				