RD - ROAD SEGMENT DECOMMISSIONING

POST-TREATMENT

Grant #: Project title:

Dat	e: Evaluator: Site ID:	page of
	Project Feature Number	Comments
	Feature Type Code	
Road Surface Drainage and Decommissioning	1. Length of decommissioned road monitored: (ft)	
	2. Road surface shapes: CRN, FLT, FUL, INS, OUT, PAR, TCU, OTH	
	3. If an objective, was road runoff dispersed by changing the road surface shape?	
	4. Road surfaces: DRT, ROC, OTH	
	5. If an objective, was infiltration/revegetation increased by decompaction?	
	6. Does road/spring runoff disperse into the correct channel or watershed?	
	a. If an objective, was runoff returned to the correct channel or watershed?	
	7. If an objective, was fine sediment delivery reduced by reducing bare area?	
	8. Does current road drainage rely on ditches?	
	a. Has permanent drainage without reliance on ditches been established?	
	9. Estimate post-treatment percent connectivity: (%)	
	10. If an objective, was percent connectivity decreased by the decommissioning?	
	a. Did the upgrade achieve the targeted percent connectivity?	
	11. Road drainage structures observed: CRD, DIT, WTB, NON, OTH	
	a. Have gullies or instability occurred at drainage outlets since implementation?	
	b. Are structures frequent enough to prevent erosion from concentrated runoff?	
	c. Do all structures drain so that sediment is not delivered to streams?	
	d. Do cross road drains fully block and drain remaining ditches?	
	e. Problems: ALN, APP, COR, CRS, NTG, OVT, PLG, UNS, WSH, NON, OTH	
	f. Have problems with the drainage structures developed since implementation?	
	12. If an objective, were existing gullies and active/potential landslides dewatered?*	
	13. If an objective, were unstable fill slopes and side cast excavated?*	
	14. Has there been sediment delivery from the road segment since implementation?	
	a. Sediment sources: SFE, FLS, LAN, CUT, NRL, EFL, DIV, RRG, NRG, OTH	
Sediment Delivery	b. Estimate total delivery since implementation: (cy)	
	15. Is there potential for sediment delivery from the road in the next 10 years?	
	a. Erosion potential: LOW, MOD/LOW, MOD, MOD/HIG, or HIG	
	b. Estimate future delivery: (cy/10 yr)	
	16. If an objective, was potential for future sediment delivery reduced?	
	17. If an objective, has the potential delivery volume decreased?	
	18. Have spoils delivered sediment to streams?	
	a. Estimated delivery from spoils since implementation: (cy)	_
Rating	19. Feature Effectiveness Rating: Excl, Good, Fair, Poor, Fail	
	20. Does this feature need: DEC, ENH, MNT, REP, NON, OTH	_
	21. Are additional restoration treatments recommended at this location?	
Comments		
C_{0}		

 $[*] Use \ US \ checklist \ for \ other \ landslide/gully \ treatments. \ \textbf{Y}=Yes, \ \textbf{N}=No, \ \textbf{P}=Partially, \ \textbf{D}=Don't \ know, \ \textbf{A}=Not \ Applicable. \ CRMEP \ 03/31/07 \ Draft$