US - UPSLOPE STABILIZATION & DELIVERY PREVENTION IMPLEMENTATION

Contract #: **Contract name:**

Date : **Evaluator:** **Site name:** page ___ of ___

	Project Feature Number			
	Feature Type Code			
Treatment	1. Was the treatment of unstable soil or an unstable slope road related?			
	2. Was the stream channel treated to stabilize the toe of an unstable slope?			
	3. Was the treatment designed to prevent sediment delivery?			
	4. Were the approved slope or gully dewatering treatments employed?			
Excavation	5. Was slope, soil or channel excavated as approved?			
	a. Was the slope excavated to a stable (concave for landslides) shape?			
	b. Was the channel reconfigured to stabilize the toe of a slide?			
	6. Were spoils placed where they cannot deliver sediment, as approved?			
	a. Spoils volume estimate: (cy)			
Structures	7. Was a structure installed as approved?			
	a. Were approved materials used for the feature?			
	b. Materials: CON, LWD, MTL, NTR, OFR, RTW, VEG, WOO, OTH			
	c. Were the sizes of materials used the same as approved?			
	8. Was a settling basin installed to prevent sediment delivery as approved?			
	a. Is there a maintenance plan or agreement for the settling basin?			
Erosion	9. Were bare soil areas treated to prevent erosion as approved?			
	a. Methods: ARM, BNC, COM, NTM, SEE, SLF, STM, OTH			
	10. Was the treatment accompanied by a revegetation component?*			
Required (if applicable)	11. Was the approved amount of stream, bank or slope treated?			
	a. Location of treatment: BFC, FLD, LBK, RBK, UPL, OTH			
	b. Length of channel treated: (ft)			
	c. Length of bank treated or stabilized: (ft)			
	d. Area of feature installed within bankfull channel: (ft²)			
	e. Length of aquatic habitat disturbed at the feature: (ft)			
	f. Upslope area treated (ft²):			
	g. Estimated sediment volume prevented from entering a stream: (cy/10 yr)			
Implementation	12. Does the feature meet design, contract & permit specifications?			
	a. If not, were modifications beneficial to performance?			
	b. Is non-compliance significant enough to jeopardize performance?			
	c. Are corrections needed?			
	13. Would a different treatment or design have been preferable? If Y, comment.			
	14. Feature Implementation Rating: (Excl, Good, Fair, Poor, Fail)			

Comments			

*Planting is a separate revegetation feature, use RT checklist. **Y**=Yes, **N**=No, **P**=Partially, **D**=Don't know, **A**=Not Applicable. CRMEP 10/04/06 Draft