SS - STREAMBANK STABILIZATION (non-bioengineered) **POST**-TREATMENT page ____ of ____

Contract #: Contract name:		
Stream/Road:Date (mm/dd/yy):Evaluator:		
Project Feature Number		
Non-bioengineered HS Feature Type Code		
Feature	1. Length of streambank stabilized (ft):	
	2. Length of instream habitat improved (ft):	
	3. Structural condition: Excl, Good, Fair, Poor, Fail	
	4. Are problems with the feature visible?	
	a. Type: ANC, BBB, CRF, MAT, SHF, STR, SWA, UND, UNS, WSH, OTH	
	5. Is the feature still in its original location, position & orientation?	
	6. Is there active streambank erosion in the treatment area?	
	a. Location(s) of erosion: UPS, DNS, WIN and LBK, RBK	
	b. Apparent cause of erosion: BAR, CNR, EMG, HYD, GRZ, USG, UND, OTH	H
	7. If a goal, did the feature improve streambank conditions?	
	8. For bank stabilization features, bank angle at treatment site (degrees°):	
	9. If a goal, did the treatment improve the bank angle?	
	a. Did the feature create the targeted bank angle?	
	10. Were there any unintended effects on the banks? If Y, comment.	
Substrate	11. 1 st /2 nd dominant substrate: SLC, SND, GRV, COB, BOL, BED, OTH	
	12. If a goal, did the feature achieve the targeted substrate composition?	
Ñ	13. Were there any unintended effects on substrate composition? If Y,comment.	
	14. If a goal, did the feature create the targeted instream habitat type?	
	a. Current level II habitat type: FLT, POO, RIF, DRY, OTH	
at	15. Were there any unintended effects on the habitat type? If Y, comment.	
Habitat	16. If a goal, did the feature increase max. water depth in the treatment area?	
Η	a. Maximum residual water depth in treatment area (ft):	
	b. Maximum residual depth associated with the structure (ft):	
	<i>c. Did the feature achieve the targeted maximum residual depth?</i>	
-	17. Were there any unintended effects on the water depth? If Y, comment.	
	18. If a goal, did the feature increase instream shelter rating? a. Instream shelter value in the treatment area: 0, 1, 2, 3	
	b. Percent of treatment area covered by shelter (%):	
Shelter	<i>c. Did the feature achieve the targeted minimum shelter rating?</i>	
	<i>d.</i> 1 st /2 nd dominant:BED, BOL, BUB, LWD, RTW, SWD, UCB, VEG, OTH	
	19. If a goal, did the feature increase LWD count in the treatment area?	
	a. Large woody debris count in treatment area $(D > 1', L 6-20' / D > 1', L > 20')$	
	b. LWD recruitment method: ANC, EXC, EXH, INT, RPR, UNA, OTH	
Channel	20. Channel problems in the vicinity of the treatment area: AGG, BRD, FLO,	
	GRC, HDC, INC, NAR, SCU, STT, WID, NON, OTH	
	21. If a goal, did the feature achieve the targeted stream channel conditions?	
	a. Condition: AGG, FPD, GRC, INC, NAR, SCU, SIN, STB, TOG, WID, OTH	
	22. Were there any unintended channel effects? If Y, comment.	
Rating	23. Feature Effectiveness Rating (Excl, Good, Fair, Poor, Fail)	
	24. Does this feature need: ENH, MNT, REP, NON, OTH	
Я	25. Are additional restoration treatments recommended at this location?	
_	Comment on back Y=Yes, N=No, P=Partially, D=Don't know, A=Not Applicable.	CRMEP June 2006 Draft