

SS - STREAMBANK STABILIZATION (non-bioengineered)

IMPLEMENTATION

Contract #:

Contract name:

Date :

Evaluator:

Site name:

page ____ of ____

		Project Feature Number			
		Non-bioengineered HS Feature Type Code			
Required	1. Was the length of bank or channel treated the same as approved?				
	a. Actual length of feature: (ft) (note if length includes habitat modification)				
	b. Area of the feature installed within bankfull channel: (ft ²)				
	c. Length of aquatic habitat disturbed during implementation: (ft)				
	d. Length of bank stabilized by the feature: (ft)				
Feature	2. Structural condition: <i>Excl, Good, Fair, Poor, Fail</i>				
	3. Are problems with the feature visible?				
	a. Type: <i>ANC, BBB, CRF, MAT, SHF, STR, SWA, UND, UNS, WSH, OTH</i>				
	4. Was the feature placed in the approved location along the streambank?				
	5. Was the feature placed in the approved position?				
	a. Placement: <i>LBK, MDC, RBK, SPN, OTH</i>				
	6. Was the feature oriented as approved?				
	a. Orientation: <i>DNS, MUL, PRL, PRP, UPS, OTH</i>				
	7. Were approved materials used for the feature?				
	a. Materials: <i>CON, LWD, MTL, NTR, OFR, RTW, VEG, WOO, OTH</i>				
	8. Were the sizes of materials used the same as approved?				
	9. Was the feature anchored as approved?				
	a. Anchoring: <i>BUR, CBL, REB, STK, TIE, NON, OTH</i>				
	10. If applicable, was the approved bank or channel excavation carried out?				
a. Were spoils placed where they cannot deliver sediment to a stream?					
Bank	11. Were approved erosion control measures applied to disturbed areas?				
	a. Type: <i>FAB, NTM, PLN*, ROC, SEE, SLF, STM, OTH</i>				
Habitat	12. If applicable, was the bank constructed to the approved angle?				
	a. As-built bank angle: (degrees)				
Implementation	13. If applicable, was the habitat type modification completed as approved?				
	a. Habitat type created: <i>FLT, POO, RIF, OTH</i>				
	14. Does the feature meet design, contract & permit specifications?				
	a. If not, were modifications beneficial to performance?				
	b. Is non-compliance significant enough to jeopardize performance?				
Comments	c. Are corrections needed?				
	15. Would a different treatment or design have been preferable? If Y, comment.				
16. Feature Implementation Rating (Excl, Good, Fair, Poor, Fail)					