

# RU - ROAD SEGMENT UPGRADING

POST-TREATMENT page \_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_

Contract #:

Contract name:

Stream/Road:

Date (mm/dd/yy):

Evaluator:

		Feature # or Road Name		
		Proposed Feature Type Code		
Upgrade	1. Length of road to be upgraded: (ft)		Keep track of delivery volumes as you move along the road to help estimate the total.	
	2. Number of stream crossings to be decommissioned along segment:			
	3. Road segment physical condition: <i>Excl, Good, Fair, Poor, Fail</i>			
Sediment Delivery	4. Has there been sediment delivery from the road segment in the last 10 years?		Past (3b)	Future (4b)
	a. <i>Sediment sources: SFE, FLS, LAN, CUT, NRL, EFL, DIV, RRG, NRG, OTH</i>		Mass wasting volume	
	b. <i>Estimate total past delivery: (cy/10 yr)</i>			
	5. Is there potential for sediment delivery from the road in the next 10 years?			
	a. <i>Erosion potential: LOW, MOD/LOW, MOD, MOD/HIG, or HIG</i>			
	b. <i>Minimum future delivery volume or "sediment savings": (cy/10 yr)</i>			
	6. Is decreasing potential for future sediment delivery a goal?			
	7. Estimate pre-treatment percent connectivity: (%)		Fluvial erosion volume	
	8. Is decreasing percent connectivity a goal of the upgrade?			
	a. <i>Targeted percent connectivity: (%)</i>			
Road Drainage	9. Is dewatering existing gullies and active or potential landslides a goal?*			
	10. Is excavating fill slopes, landings and side cast a goal?			
	11. Does road/spring drainage disperse into the correct channel or watershed?		[(Sum the lengths of ditch/road surface draining to each crossing - CU question 3i) / (total length of road)] x 100 = percent connectivity	
	12. Is returning road/spring drainage to the correct channel or watershed a goal?			
	13. Is reducing fine grain sediment delivery by reducing bare soil area a goal?			
	14. Is minimizing fine sediment delivery by seasonally closing the road a goal?			
	15. Road surfaces: <i>DRT, ROC, PAV, OTH</i>			
	16. Is reducing the road surface erosion rate by resurfacing a goal?			
	17. Road surface shapes: <i>CRN, FLT, INS, OUT, TCU, OTH</i>			
	18. Is dispersing road runoff by changing the road surface shape a goal?			
	19. If there is a ditch, does any portion of it need cleaning or improvement?			
	20. Is improving road drainage by cleaning or adding a ditch a goal?			
	21. Are berms interfering with the intended road drainage pattern?			
	22. Is restoring the intended drainage pattern by removing/breaching berms a goal?			
	23. Existing road drainage structures: <i>DRC, RLD, NON, OTH</i>			
	a. <i>Are there gullies or hill slope instability at drainage outlets?</i>			
	b. <i>Are structures frequent enough to prevent erosion from concentrated runoff?</i>			
c. <i>Do structures drain so that sediment is not delivered to a stream?</i>				
d. <i>Do rolling dips drain the road surface without affecting road use?</i>				
e. <i>Problems: ALN, APP, COR, CRS, NTG, OVT, PLG, UNS, WSH, OTH</i>		Surface Erosion Discharge		
24. Is reducing connectivity by adding or upgrading drainage structures a goal?		$Q_s = [(A \times E)/27] \times T \times D$ $Q_s$ = sediment delivery (yds <sup>3</sup> ) from surface erosion A = exposed area (ft <sup>2</sup> ) T = time (years) E = erosion rate (ft/yr) D = delivery ratio (% of erosion delivered to stream).		
Comments				

\* Use EC checklist for other landslide/gully treatments. Y=Yes, N=No, P=Partially, D=Don't know, A=Not Applicable. CRMEP June 2006 Draft