

# RU - ROAD SEGMENT UPGRADE

# IMPLEMENTATION

Contract #:

Contract name:

Date :

Evaluator:

Site name:

page \_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_

Feature # or Road Name		
Proposed Feature Type Code		Comments
Road Upgrade	1. Length of road segment (site) upgraded: (ft)	
	a. Length of road actually upgraded, if different: (ft)	
	2. Number of stream crossings upgraded along segment:	
	3. Road segment physical condition: <i>Excl, Good, Fair, Poor, Fail</i>	
	4. Was road drainage disconnected from streams as approved?	
Spoils	5. Were existing gullies & active or potential landslides dewatered as approved?*	
	6. Were fill slopes, landings and side cast excavated as approved?*	
	7. Were spoils placed where they cannot deliver sediment, as approved?	
Surface	a. Spoils volume estimate: (cy)	
	8. Have approved erosion control measures been applied to bare areas?	
	a. Methods: <i>ARM, BNC, COM, NTM, PLN**, SEE, SLF, STM, OTH</i>	
Road Drainage	9. Was the road surfaced as approved?	
	a. Road surfacing: <i>DRT, PAV, ROC, OTH</i>	
	10. Was the road surface shaped as approved?	
	a. Road surface shapes: <i>CRN, FLT, INS, OUT, TCU, OTH</i>	
	11. Was the ditch cleaned or added as approved?	
	12. Were berms removed or breached as approved?	
	13. Were drainage structures installed as approved?	
	a. Components installed or upgraded: <i>DRC, RLD, NON, OTH</i>	
	b. Do all ditch relief culverts drain properly, at the base of the fill?	
	c. Do all structures drain onto stable and erosion resistant hill slopes?	
Implementation	d. Were drainage components installed at the approved interval?	
	e. Were drainage features installed in the approved locations?	
	f. Are rolling dips drivable and not inhibiting traffic and road use?	
	g. Problems: <i>ALN, APP, COR, CRS, NTG, OVT, PLG, UNS, WSH, OTH</i>	
	14. Does the feature meet design, contract & permit specifications?	
	a. If not, were modifications beneficial to performance?	
	b. Is non-compliance significant enough to jeopardize performance?	
	c. Are corrections needed?	
	15. Would a different treatment or design have been preferable? If Y, comment.	
16. Feature Implementation Rating ( <i>Excl, Good, Fair, Poor, Fail</i> )		
Comments		