RD - ROAD SEGMENT DECOMMISSIONING

POST-TREATMENT page ___ of ___

Contract #: **Contract name:**

Stream/Road: **Date (mm/dd/yy):** **Evaluator:**

	Feature # or Road Name			
	Proposed Feature Type Code		Keep track of delivery volumes as you move along the road to help estimate the total.	
Road Surface Drainage and Decommissioning	1. Length of road actually decommissioned: (ft)			
	2. Actual number of stream crossings decommissioned along segment:			
	3. Road segment physical condition: *Excl, Good, Fair, Poor, Fail*			
	4. Road surface shapes: *CRN, FLT, FUL, INS, OUT, PAR, TCU, OTH*		Past 16b	Future 17b
	5. If a goal, was road runoff dispersed by changing the road surface shape?		Mass wasting vol.	
	6. Road surfaces: *DRT, ROC, PAV, OTH*			
	7. If a goal, was infiltration/revegetation increased by decompacting the road?			
	8. Does road/spring drainage disperse into the correct channel or watershed?			
	9. If a goal, was road/spring drainage returned to the correct channel or watershed?			
	10. If a goal, was fine grain sediment delivery reduced by reducing bare soil area?		Fluvial erosion vol.	
	11. Does current road drainage rely on ditches?			
	12. Has permanent drainage without reliance on ditches been established?			
	13. Estimate post-treatment percent connectivity: (%)			
	14. If a goal, was percent connectivity decreased by the decommissioning?			
	15. Road drainage structures: *CRD, WTB, NON, OTH*		[(Sum the lengths of ditch/road surface draining to each crossing - CU question 7a) / (total length of road)] x 100 = percent connectivity	
	a. Have all ditch relief culverts been decommissioned?			
	b. Have gullies or instability occurred at drainage outlets since implementation?			
	c. Are structures frequent enough to prevent erosion from concentrated runoff?			
	d. Do structures drain so that sediment is not delivered to a stream?			
	e. Do cross road drains fully block and drain remaining ditches?			
	f. Have problems with the drainage structures developed since implementation?			
Sediment Delivery	16. Has there been sediment delivery from the road segment since implementation?			
	a. Sediment sources: SFE, FLS, LAN, CUT, NRL, EFL, DIV, RRG, NRG, OTH			
	b. Estimate total past delivery: (cy/10 yr)			
	17. Is there potential for sediment delivery from the road in the next 10 years?			
	a. Erosion potential: LOW, MOD/LOW, MOD, MOD/HIG, or HIG			
	b. Estimate future delivery: (cy/10 yr)			
	18. If a goal, was potential for future sediment delivery reduced?			
	19. If a goal, has the potential delivery volume decreased?			
	20. If a goal, were existing gullies and active or potential landslides dewatered?*			
	21. If a goal, were unstable fill slopes and side cast excavated?			
Spoils	22. Has sediment eroded from spoils areas been delivered to streams?			
	a. Estimate delivery since implementation: (cy)			
Rating	**23. Feature Effectiveness Rating** *(Excl, Good, Fair, Poor, Fail)*			
	24. Does this feature need: *ENH, MNT, REP, NON, OTH*			
	25. Are additional restoration treatments recommended at this location?			
Comments				

* Use EC checklist for other landslide/gully treatments. **Y**=Yes, **N**=No, **P**=Partially, **D**=Don't know, **A**=Not Applicable. CRMEP June 2006 Draft