## **RD - ROAD SEGMENT DECOMMISSIONING**

## **IMPLEMENTATION**

2	: Evaluator: Site name: Feature # or Road Name Feature Type Code Length of road segment (site) decommissioned (ft):	page of
2	Feature Type Code	2
2		
2	Length of road segment (site) decommissioned (it):	Comments
	2. Number of stream crossings decommissioned along segment:	
-	3. Has access to the road segment been blocked as approved?	
/	4. Was the road surface shaped as approved?	
_		
4	<i>a. Road surface shapes: CRN, FLT, FUL, INS, OUT, PAR, TCU, OTH</i> 5. Was the road surface decompacted as approved?	
	5. Was road drainage disconnected from streams as approved?	
80 -	7. Were drainage structures installed as approved?	
	a. Drainage structures installed: CRD, DIT, WTB, NON, OTH	
	<i>b.</i> Do all structures drain onto stable and erosion resistant hill slopes?	
	1	
Koad Decommissioning	<ul><li>c. Were drainage structures installed at the approved interval?</li><li>d. Were drainage structures installed in the approved locations?</li></ul>	
au	<i>e. Are cross road drains constructed so they fully block remaining ditches?</i>	
¥ -	<i>e. Are cross roda drains constructed so they july block remaining allches?</i> <i>f. Problems: ALN, APP, CRS, NTG, OVT, PLG, UNS, WSH, OTH</i>	
	3. Have approved erosion control measures been applied to drainage outlets?	
Ċ		
0	<i>a. Method: ARM, COM, FAB, NTM, PLN, SEE, SLF, STM, OTH</i> 9. Have approved erosion control measures been applied to bare areas?	
>		
	a. Method: ARM, BNC, COM, NTM, PLN, SEE, SLF, STM, OTH 10. Were gullies & active or potential landslides dewatered as approved?*	
_	1. Were fill slopes, landings and side cast excavated as approved?	
	2. Were spoils placed where they cannot deliver sediment, as approved?	
Spoils	<i>a. Spoils volume estimate: (cy)</i>	
_	3. Does the feature meet design, contract & permit specifications?	
lon	<i>a. If not, were modifications beneficial to performance?</i>	
llat	b. Is non-compliance significant enough to jeopardize performance?	
lime	c. Are corrections needed?	
Implementation	4. Would a different treatment or design have been preferable (if Y, comment):	
되 <u> </u>	<b>5. Feature Implementation Rating</b> ( <i>Excl, Good, Fair, Poor, Fail</i> )	