

RT - REVEGETATION TREATMENTS

POST-TREATMENT page ____ of ____

Contract #:

Contract name:

Stream/Road:

Date (mm/dd/yy):

Evaluator:

		Project Feature Number			
		Feature Type Code			
Feature	1. Length of streambank monitored: (ft)				
	2. Area monitored: (ft ²)				
	3. Location monitored: <i>FLD, LBK, RBK, USL, OTH</i>				
Vegetation Type & Cover	4. Percent survival of planted vegetation: (%)				
	5. Is survival of planted vegetation adequate?				
	6. Is growth and vigor of planted vegetation satisfactory?				
	<i>a. Rate growth and vigor of planted vegetation: Excl, Good, Fair, Poor, Fail</i>				
	7. Was irrigation conducted as agreed after the closeout of the contract?				
	8. Current dominant vegetation type: <i>GRA, HRB, SHR, TRE, NON, OTH</i>				
	<i>a. Dominant vegetation type is composed of: NTS, NNS</i>				
	9. If a goal, did the revegetation lead to the targeted dominant vegetation type?				
	10. Current dominant species in the treatment area:				
	11. If a goal, did the feature lead to the targeted change in species composition?				
	12. Total vegetation cover within the treatment area: (%)				
	13. If a goal, did the revegetation increase vegetation cover?				
	<i>a. Was the targeted percent cover achieved?</i>				
	14. If a goal, did the revegetation reduce the size of gaps in bank vegetation?				
	<i>a. Length of largest gap in bank vegetation >3 ft tall: (ft)</i>				
	15. Current canopy cover over the channel: (%)				
	16. If a goal, was percent canopy cover increased?				
	<i>a. Targeted percent canopy cover in the treatment area: (ft)</i>				
Banks	17. Is there active streambank erosion in the treatment area?				
	<i>a. Location(s) of erosion: DNS, UPS, WIN and LBK, RBK</i>				
	<i>b. Apparent cause of erosion: BAR, CNR, EMG, GRZ, USG, UND, OTH</i>				
	18. If a goal, did the feature improve streambank conditions?				
	19. Were there any unintended effects on the streambanks? If Y, comment.				
LWD	20. Large woody debris count in treatment area (D >1', L 6-20' / D >1', L >20'):				
	21. If a goal, did the revegetation increase LWD recruitment potential?				
Channel	22. Current channel problems: <i>AGG, BRD, FLO, GRC, HDC, INC, NAR, SCU, STT, WID, NON, OTH</i>				
	23. If a goal, did the revegetation lead to the targeted stream channel conditions?				
	<i>a. Conditions: AGG, FPD, GRC, INC, NAR, SIN, STB, TOG, WID, OTH</i>				
	24. Were there any unintended effects on the channel? (if Y, comment)				
Rating	25. Feature Effectiveness Rating (<i>Excl, Good, Fair, Poor, Fail</i>)				
	26. Does this feature need: <i>ENH, MNT, REP, NON, OTH</i>				
	27. Are additional restoration treatments recommended at this location?				
Comments					