IN - INSTREAM HABITAT RESTORATION

Contract #:   **Contract name:**

Date :   **Evaluator:**   **Site name:**   page ___ of ___

	Project Feature Number			
	Feature Type Code			
Required	1. Was the length of channel treated the same as approved?			
	a. Actual length of feature: (ft) (note if length includes habitat modification)			
	b. Area of the feature installed within bankfull channel: (ft²)			
	c. Length of aquatic habitat disturbed during implementation: (ft)			
	d. If applicable, length of bank stabilized: (ft)			
Feature	2. Structural condition: *Excl, Good, Fair, Poor, Fail*			
	3. Are problems with the feature visible?			
	a. Type: ANC, BBB, CRF, MAT, SHF, STR, SWA, UND, UNS, WSH, OTH			
	4. Was the feature placed in the approved location along the channel?			
	5. Was the feature placed in the approved position?			
	a. Position: LBK, MDC, RBK, SPN, OTH			
	6. Was the feature oriented as approved?			
	a. Orientation: DNS, MUL, PRL, PRP, UPS, OTH			
	7. Were approved materials used for the feature?			
	a. Materials: CON, LWD, MTL, NTR, OFR, RTW, VEG, WOO, OTH			
	8. Were the sizes of materials used the same as approved?			
	9. Was the feature anchored as approved?			
	a. Anchoring: BUR, CBL, REB, STK, TIE, NON, OTH			
	10. If applicable, was the approved bank or channel excavation carried out?			
	11. Were approved erosion control measures applied to disturbed areas?			
	a. Type: FAB, NTR, NTM, OFR, PLN, SEE, SLF, STM, OTH*			
Habitat	12. If applicable, was the habitat type modification completed as approved?			
	a. Habitat created: FLT, POO, RIF, OTH			
	13. If applicable, was gravel added to the stream as approved?			
	a. Volume of gravel added to stream: (cy)			
Bank	14. If applicable, was the bank constructed to the approved angle?			
	a. As-built bank angle: (degrees)			
Implementation	15. Does the feature meet design, contract & permit specifications?			
	a. If not, were modifications beneficial to performance?			
	b. Is non-compliance significant enough to jeopardize performance?			
	c. Are corrections needed?			
	16. Would a different treatment or design have been preferable? If Y, comment.			
	17. Feature Implementation Rating: (Excl, Good, Fair, Poor, Fail)			
Comments				