FS - FISH SCREENING of DIVERSIONS

PRE-TREATMENT page ____ of ____

| Contract #: Contract name: | | |
|----------------------------|--|----------|
| Stre | eam/Road: Date (mm/dd/yy): Evaluator: | |
| | Project Feature | Comments |
| | Proposed Feature Type Code | |
| Ŀ | 1. Is diversion flow regulated by a head gate and streamflow gauge? | |
| Ħ | 2. Is installing a head gate and flow gauge to regulate diversion flow a goal? | |
| en e | 3. Is there an existing fish screen for the diversion? | |
| Scre | a. Structural condition: Excl, Good, Fair, Poor, Fail | |
| ish (| b. Does the fish screen meet current DFG screen criteria? | |
| Ē | 4. Is installing or upgrading a screen to meet DFG fish screen criteria a goal? | |
| lent | 5. Is the existing screen located in a diversion canal? | |
| acen | a. Distance along diversion canal from stream to screen (ft): | |
| Pl | 6. Is reducing the distance between the stream and screen a goal? | |
| | 7. Does the diversion have a bypass canal? | |
| | 8. Does the existing bypass provide adequate escape for fish? | |
| 70 | a. Does the bypass appear to be easy to locate and enter for fish? | |
| pass | b. Does the bypass appear to be free of safety hazards to fish? | |
| By | c. Does the bypass appear to be adequately sized to pass debris? | |
| | 9. Is providing adequate escape for fish through a bypass a goal? | |
| | 10. Is decreasing the length of the bypass a goal? | |
| | a. Distance along bypass canal from bypass inlet to stream (ft): | |
| Ī | 11. Has the stream channel been affected by a seasonal dam? | |
| F | a. Will a "permanent" weir replace that practice?* | |
| ann(| 12. Channel problems in the vicinity of the treatment area: AGG, BRD, FLO, | |
| Chê | GRC, HDC, INC, NAR, SCU, STT, WID, NON, OTH | |
| | 13. Is improving channel conditions a goal of the fish screen feature? | |
| | a. Targeted: AGG, FPD, GRC, INC, NAR, SIN, STB, TOG, WID, OTH | |
| | 14. Is there bank erosion or instability in the vicinity of the diversion/screen? | |
| unks | a. Locations: UPS, DNS, WIN and LBK, RBK | |
| \mathbf{Ba} | b. Apparent cause: BAR, CNR, EMG, GRZ, HYD, UND, USG, OTH | |
| | 15. Is stabilizing the streambank and/or reducing bank erosion a goal? | |
| | 16. Do adult fish of the targeted species have access into the diversion? | |
| ess | a. Targeted fish species: COHO, CHIN, CT, SH, etc. | |
| Acc | 17. Is eliminating adult fish access into a diversion a goal of the feature? | |
| ish | 18. Do juvenile fish of the targeted species have access into the diversion? | _ |
| Ξ | a. Targeted fish species: COHO, CHIN, CT, SH, etc. | |
| | 19. Is eliminating juvenile fish access into a diversion a goal of the feature? | |
| | | |
| | | |
| ents | | |
| nm | | |
| COI | | |
| | | |
| | | |