FB - FISH PASSAGE IMPROVEMENT at BARRIERS

PRE-TREATMENT page ____ of ____

Contract #: Contract name:

Stream/Road:

Stream/Road: Date (mm/dd/yy): Evaluator:				
		Project Feature Numbe	er	Comments
Proposed Feature Type Code				
Channel	1. Is there localized channel aggradation upstro			
	2. Is there localized channel incision or scour	lownstream of the barrier?		
	3. Are there other channel problems in the vici	nity of the barrier?		
	4. Is correcting or stabilizing localized channel	problems a goal?		
Banks	5. Is there streambank erosion or instability in	the vicinity of the barrier?		
	a. Locations: UPS, DNS, WIN and LBK, R	BK		
	b. Apparent cause: BAR, CNR, EMG, GRZ	, HYD, UND, USG, OTH		
	6. Is stabilizing the streambank and/or reducing bank erosion a goal?			
Fish Passage	7. Is increasing adult fish passage a goal of the	e feature?		
	a. Targeted adult fish species: COHO, CHI	N, CT, SH, etc.		
	8. Is there currently a barrier to adult fish of the	ne targeted species?		
	a. Current barrier category: PAR, TEM, TC	OT, OTH		
	b. Target barrier category: PAR, TEM, NO	N, OTH		
	c. Type of passage problem: FJH, NRP, WI	TD, WTV, OTH		
	d. Targeted improvements: FJH, NRP, WT	D, WTV, OTH		
	9. Is increasing juvenile fish passage a goal of			
	a. Targeted juvenile fish species: COHO, C	THIN, CT, SH, OTH		
	10. Is there currently a barrier to juvenile fish	of the targeted species?		
	a. Current barrier category: PAR, TEM, TO	OT, OTH		
	b. Target barrier category: PAR, TEM, NO	N, OTH		
	c. Type of passage problem: FJH, WTD, WTV, OTH			
	d. Targeted improvements: FJH, WTD, WTV, OTH			
Products	11. Is downstream movement of watershed pro	oducts impaired at the barrier?		
	a. Movement currently impaired: DBR, SUB, WTR, OTH			
	12. Is improving downstream movement of wa	itershed products a goal?		
Comments				