SB – BIOENGINEERED STREAMBANK STABILIZATION IMPLEMENTATION

Contract #: **Contract name:**

Date : **Evaluator:** **Site name:** page ___ of ___

	Project Feature Number			
	Bioengineered HS Feature Type Code			
Required	1. Was the length of bank treated the same as approved?			
	a. Actual length of feature: (ft)			
	b. Area of the feature installed within bankfull channel: (ft²)			
	c. Length of aquatic habitat disturbed during implementation: (ft)			
	d. Length of bank stabilized by the feature: (ft)			
Feature	2. Feature condition: *Excl, Good, Fair, Poor, Fail*			
	3. Are problems with the feature visible?			
	a. Type: ANC, BBB, CRF, MAT, SHF, STR, SWA, UND, UNS, WSH, OTH			
	4. Was the feature placed in the approved location and position?			
	a. Placement: LBK, RBK, OTH			
	5. Was the feature oriented as approved?			
	a. Orientation: DNS, PRL, PRP, UPS, OTH			
	6. Were approved materials used for the feature?			
	a. Materials: NTR, OFR, VEG, OTH			
	7. Were the sizes of materials used the same as approved?			
	8. Was the feature anchored as approved?			
	a. Anchoring: BUR, CBL, REB, STK, TIE, NON, OTH			
	9. If applicable, was the approved bank excavation carried out?			
	a. Were spoils placed where they cannot deliver sediment to a stream?			
	10. Were approved erosion control measures applied to disturbed areas?			
	a. Type: FAB, NTM, PLN, ROC, SEE, SLF, STM, OTH*			
Bank	11. If applicable, was the bank constructed to the approved angle?			
	a. As-built bank angle (degrees):			
Vegetation	12. Live plant material used in the feature: SALIX, POBAT, POFRF3, etc.			
	13. Minimum adequate survival of vegetation (%):			
	14. Will vegetation be irrigated?			
	a. Irrigation method: HAN, IRS, NON, OTH			
	b. Is there an agreement to insure irrigation completion & maintenance?			
	c. Number of years the feature will be irrigated:(yrs)			
Implementation	15. Does the feature meet design, contract & permit specifications?			
	a. If not, were modifications beneficial to performance?			
	b. Is non-compliance significant enough to jeopardize performance?			
	c. Are corrections needed?			
	16. Would a different treatment or design have been preferable? If Y, comment.			
	17. Feature Implementation Rating *(Excl, Good, Fair, Poor, Fail)*			
Comments				

*For revegetation other than bioengineering use RT checklist. **Y**=Yes, **N**=No, **P**=Partially, **D**=Don't know, **A**=Not Applicable. CRMEP 10/04/06 Draft